WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS REGULAR SESSION / AGENDA Wednesday, December 18, 2013 LOCATION: Wasco County Courthouse, Room #302 511 Washington Street, The Dalles, OR 97058

<u>Public Comment</u>: Individuals wishing to address the Commission on items not already listed on the Agenda may do so during the first half-hour and at other times throughout the meeting; please wait for the current speaker to conclude and raise your hand to be recognized by the Chair for direction. Speakers are required to give their name and address. Please limit comments to five minutes, unless extended by the Chair.

Departments: Are encouraged to have their issue added to the Agenda in advance. When that is not possible the Commission will attempt to make time to fit you in during the first half-hour or between listed Agenda items.

NOTE: With the exception of Public Hearings, the Agenda is subject to last minute changes; times are approximate – please arrive early. **Meetings are ADA accessible.** For special accommodations please contact the Commission Office in advance, (541) 506-2520. TDD 1-800-735-2900.

9:00 a.m.

CALL TO ORDER

Items without a designated appointment may be rearranged to make the best use of time. Other matters may be discussed as deemed appropriate by the Board.

- Corrections or Additions to the Agenda
- Administrative Officer Tyler Stone: Comments
- <u>Discussion Items</u> (Items of general Commission discussion, not otherwise listed on the Agenda) <u>Re-appointments/Appointments</u>, <u>January BOCC Meetings</u>, <u>Treasurer's Report</u>, <u>Eastern Oregon Counties</u> <u>Association</u>, <u>Oregon County Leadership Institute</u>
- <u>Consent Agenda</u> (Items of a routine nature: minutes, documents, items previously discussed.) <u>Minutes:</u> <u>12.4.2013</u>
 - 9:30 a.m. Road Advisory Committee _ Marty Matherly
 - 10:00 a.m. MCEDD Quarterly Report Amanda Hoey
 - 10:15 a.m. Solid Waste Rate Increase Proposals John Zalaznik

NEW / OLD BUSINESS COMMISSION CALL / REPORTS ADJOURN

If necessary, Executive Session may be held in accordance with: ORS 192.660(2)(a) – Employment of Public Officers, Employees & Agents, ORS 192.660(2)(b) – Discipline of Public Officers & Employees, ORS 192.660(2)(d) – Labor Negotiator Consultations, ORS 192.660(2)(e) – Real Property Transactions, ORS 192.660(2)(g) – Trade Negotiations, ORS 192.660(2)(h) - Conferring with Legal Counsel regarding litigation, ORS 192.660(2)(i) – Performance Evaluations of Public Officers & Employees, ORS 192.660(2)(j) – Public Investments, ORS 192.660(2)(m) – Security Programs, ORS 192.660(2)(n) – Labor Negotiations



PRESENT: Rod Runyon, Commission Chair Scott Hege, County Commissioner Steve Kramer, County Commissioner Tyler Stone, County Administrator Kathy White, Executive Assistant

At 9:00 a.m. Chair Runyon opened the Regular Session of the Board of Commissioners with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Fair Board appointments were removed from the Discussion list as the Fair Board has not yet reviewed the appointments and made a recommendation to the Board.

Planning Department Director John Roberts has submitted a request to add the Brush Canyon Wind Project to the Discussion List

Discussion List – Re-appointments/Appointments

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-136 appointing Barbara Case as Wasco County's American Disability Act Coordinator. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-137 re-appointing Monica Morris as Wasco County's Budget Officer. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-144 re-appointing Scott McKay to the Mid-Columbia Housing Authority. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}

{{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve Order #13-140 re-appointing Carolyn Wood to the Mid-Columbia Council of Governments Board of Directors. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve Order #13-145 re-appointing Don Uhalde to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-146 re-appointing David Troxel to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-147 re-appointing Jeff McCall to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Brief discussion ensued as to what the Public Works Building Safety Committee does; Mr. Stone explained that they are a mirror image of the Court House Safety Committee ensuring OSHA compliance within the building.

{{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve Order #13-169 re-appointing Jim Burres to the Wasco County Veterans Advisory Committee. Chair Runyon seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-141 re-appointing Dan Fissell to the Wasco County Weed Council. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-142 re-appointing Michael Omeg to the Wasco County Weed Council. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}} {{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Order #13-143 re-appointing Jerry Duling to the Wasco County Weed Council. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Brief discussion ensued regarding the frequency of Weed Council meetings and the importance of having a resident of south Wasco County as a member. The Council meets two or three times each year; Mr. Duling is a south Wasco County resident.

{{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve Order #13-170 re-appointing Jay Waterbury to the NORCOR Budget Committee. Chair Runyon seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Chair Runyon asked Ms. White to look into the Wasco County appointment to the MCCOG Budget Committee to make sure it is up-to-date. He indicated that Bill Lennox's term has expired and should be contacted for any interest he may have to be reappointed; the position should be advertised.

Discussion List – January 2014 BOCC Meeting Schedule

Ms. White explained that no additions had been made to the January 15th session since the submission of the Board Packet Memo outlining currently scheduled items. She predicted that more requests would be submitted but doubted that the schedule would become overloaded.

It was the consensus of the Board that since the first regular session of January falls on New Year's Day, the Board would hold the January 15th session adding an additional session on January 22nd should it become necessary.

Discussion List – Treasurer's Report

Commissioner Hege noted that there is \$5 million more in the account than at this time last year; he would value an explanation of the difference. He also noted that he would appreciate seeing years past so trends could be evaluated. Chair Runyon said that he would approach County Treasurer Chad Krause to try to obtain more information.

Discussion List – Eastern Oregon Counties Association

Chair Runyon reported that if Wasco County were to join the Association it would cost a minimum of \$2,500; he did not think that money is available in the budget considering other pressing financial needs the County is facing. Commissioner Hege agreed saying that he supports much of what the EOCA does, but does not

want to pay another organization; AOC already works on many of the same issues and the County has higher needs.

The Board was in consensus to not join the Eastern Oregon Counties at this time.

Discussion List - Oregon County Leadership Institute

Commissioner Kramer expressed his interest in attending the Leadership Institute. He reported that he has submitted an application to the AOC for a \$1,000 scholarship to cover half the tuition. If the scholarship is awarded the balance of \$950 may be split into \$475 for each of two fiscal years. He added that if the scholarship is not awarded, he will not attend.

Chair Runyon asked where the classes take place. Commissioner Kramer replied that they are held in Salem – he will deal with the travel expense should he be able to attend. Commissioner Hege asked if Commissioner Kramer had reviewed this expense with the Finance Manager. Commissioner Kramer responded that he had; she had communicated that the training and education dollars are available especially if the expense is spread over two fiscal years.

The Board was in consensus for Commissioner Kramer to attend the Oregon County Leadership Institute with the expense being offset in part by an AOC scholarship.*

Chair Runyon noted that EFSEC has cancelled their last two meetings regarding the Brush Canyon Wind Project and wondered if anyone had heard about rescheduled dates. Commissioner Hege stated that he has contacted them about that and will pass along their response.

Consent Agenda - 12.4.2013 Minutes

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Mr. Stone asked Commissioner Hege to update the Board on BOPTA. Commissioner Hege said that they have an application from Dee Ashley. He explained that the County currently has only 3 BOPTA members which is the minimum needed to sit; should someone be sick, unavailable or decide to resign, the BOPTA Board could not function until a replacement is found, appointed and

trained. He pointed out that there is training offered every other year; beyond the training, the time commitment is small – only 2-3 days per year. In addition, members are paid for their time.

Ken Polehn said that he has found the application online and plans to fill one out and turn it in.

Agenda Item - Road Advisory Committee Recommendation

Chuck Covert, Chair of the Wasco County Road Advisory Committee, briefly reviewed the activities of the Committee during 2013. He explained that the Committee had deliberated and were prepared to recommend that the Board of County Commissioners consider forming a Road Taxing District to meet the funding shortfall for road maintenance in Wasco County with a levy of \$1.23 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation which would raise approximately \$1.6 million for Wasco County roads and approximately \$750,000 for roads within the City of The Dalles. He went on to say that should the District fail in an election, the Committee recommends a Vehicle Registration Fee be placed on the ballot at the maximum rate of \$43 which would raise \$730,000 for Wasco County Roads and \$435,000 for roads within the City of The Dalles. He added that either measure should include language that would reduce or eliminate taxes commensurate with restoration of other county road funding.

Mr. Covert explained that the registration fee is less attractive because it does not offer the ability to raise the full amount needed to make up for the current funding deficit; impact fees will also not raise enough and have the added problem of collection.

Chair Runyon asked if any other members of the Committee had anything to add. Keith Mobley, Committee member, said that while the recommendation has been agreed upon by the committee, he thinks the public needs to be informed of the urgency of the situation. He believes there is little likelihood of timber receipts returning to a level sufficient to support road maintenance. He stated that his personal choice would be a combination package of both registration and impact fees; he thinks the agricultural community's willingness to pay an impact fee would encourage the public to pass a registration fee.

Ken Polehn, Committee member, said that it is important to get something on the ballot to get the conversation started in the public forum. He said he could support either idea.

Sherry Holliday, Committee member, pointed out that if no action is taken, the next decision that will come before the Board is which roads in the County will go back to gravel. She pointed out that good roads are critical to emergency response. She said that the Committee is a good group of people trying to do the right thing.

Dennis James, Committee member, stated that he is in agreement with the tax base which is what the Committee agreed upon; however he is also a member of the agricultural community and would be willing to pay an impact fee. He said that one idea might be to base the fee on the number of employees engaged by each agricultural concern. He stated that unless a method of collection can be determined for an impact fee, it cannot work. He reminded the Board that additional fees for hunting and fishing licenses have also been discussed.

City of The Dalles Manager Nolan Young said he commends the Committee for their efforts. He strongly agrees with that there is a need and something should be placed on the ballot right away. He said that the City has held off for long enough that they now have catching up to do which will be more costly than maintenance – he encouraged the Board to not allow the County to get into that same position. He observed that a tax district would have impacts that the Committee may not be aware of; a new tax will result in compression of other taxes, potentially reducing income to the other taxing districts. He said that the impact of compression is difficult to predict as it is determined by property. He stated that a rookie police officer costs about \$80,000 and could be eliminated by compression. He suggested that a combo approach would be a better, more reliable approach.

Commission Hege asked if the compression affected only the City of The Dalles. Mr. Young responded that he had only looked at the City of The Dalles and that he does not think that there are other cities in the County with taxing districts.

Chair Runyon noted that Mr. Young's concern is that a taxing district may just be taking money out of one funding pocket to put it into another. Mr. Young said that a district might ask for \$2.3 million and estimate collecting \$2 million but

compression may reduce that to \$1.5 million. He further noted that costs already exceed property taxes which do not even cover the city's law enforcement costs.

Mr. Covert said that he had met with the Assessor and it is very complicated – when a new district is formed, everyone else gets a little less. He said he does not know how else the roads can be maintained. He reminded everyone that they are not trying to raise enough to make improvements or expansions, just enough to maintain the current road system. He said that he does not want to implement something that just defers disaster – he wants something that will succeed.

Commissioner Kramer reported that he had spoken to Councilman Spatz who believes it is important that the City and County work in tandem to meet this challenge.

Commissioner Hege said that he thinks that it makes a lot of sense and asked Mr. Young if there is any move toward making that happen. Mr. Young replied that that is the City's intent. Commissioner Kramer added that Mr. Spatz had indicated that a meeting would be set right after the first of the year.

Further discussion ensued regarding the possibility and process for getting something on the ballot in May. It was agreed that the County Clerk would be contacted for assistance. Commissioner Hege suggested that there needs to be discussion on how to inform the public and/or forming a PAC; Public Works Director Marty Matherly said that the Committee is willing to do that work.

Mr. Young added that it would be useful to consult a tax attorney before approaching the public.

Chair Runyon asked if the proposed Road District would have a sunset date. Mr. Covert stated that unless other funding is identified and secured, the District would be permanent. Mr. Matherly said that the intent is to create something that is predictable and long-term.

Commissioner Hege stressed the importance of working with the City Council and asked Mr. Young to work with Mr. Stone to have a joint meeting to further the discussion.

Chair Runyon asked if anyone had looked at the chances of passing a ballot measure. Mr. Matherly replied that although their survey responses represent a very small portion of the voting population, a high percentage of survey respondents supported a tax. He pointed out that polling can be expensive.

Chair Runyon asked if other cities within the County had been engaged in the conversation. Ms. Holliday said that while Maupin is looking for money for streets but other communities may not be as receptive.

Commissioner Hege asked if there are any road plans that might be of particular benefit to those communities. Mr. Matherly responded that there are none planned at this time. He said that the County used to be able to do that but reduced staffing and funding do not allow for that kind of support.

Road Supervisor Don Uhalde said it is important for the outlying communities to remember that it is the County road system that leads to their businesses.

Commissioner Hege thanked the Committee for the specificity of their recommendation. He said that he believes it is imperative to get a plan in place for the May ballot.

Mr. Covert offered committee involvement in the joint meeting if the Board felt it would be useful.

Agenda Item – MCEDD Quarterly Report

MCEDD Executive Director Amanda Hoey reviewed the report submitted in the Board Packet. She said that they have had an initial call with the University regarding economic impact research which will span two classes – the first will develop the process; the second will implement the process.

Commissioner Hege asked if she felt they have what they need in terms of data. Ms. Hoey replied that she had received the data gathered by Ms. White and have also made some information-gathering phone calls; the University will take it further. Commissioner Hege said that although the WTF promoters are facing some legal issues, they will be able to help with research.

Ms. Hoey continued to review the items included in the Board Packet report. She said that they are working with the Extension Office to offer wine courses based

on the needs assessment. Commissioner Hege requested a copy of the wine needs assessment. Ms. Hoey replied that she would send a copy to Ms. White for distribution to the Board.

Commissioner Hege asked how many listings MCEDD manages. Ms. Hoey responded that it is less than 1/2 dozen.

Chair Runyon asked if there has been enough change in the Agora platform to have it presented again at a Board session. Ms. Hoey said that she thought that would be appropriate.

Agenda Item - Solid Waste Proposed Rate Increases

Environmental Health Specialist Supervisor John Zalaznik presented rate increase requests for the Landfill and Mel's Sanitation, both of which have been approved by the Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC). He introduced Nancy Mitchell from Waste Connections who said that they are requesting 1.03 % (85% CPI) which is what the license agreement allows.

Mel Barlow, owner of Mel's Sanitary Service, said that he is asking for 80% CPI which is a 1% increase. He hopes the changes to the health care system will be financially manageable.

Mr. Zalaznik reported that the SWAC supports both requests.

Commissioner Kramer disclosed that up to a year ago he had been employed with Mel's Sanitary Service but to date has no financial interest in the business.

{{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve the recommendation of the SWAC to approve a 1.03% rate increase for the Wasco County Landfill and a 1% rate increase for Mel's Sanitary Service. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}

Mr. Zalaznik explained that the increases being requested by The Dalles Disposal have not yet been presented to the SWAC and he has not been able to convene a special meeting of the SWAC due to member's holiday season plans. He asked that the Board approve the request on an interim basis saying that he would return in January with a recommendation from the SWAC for the increase.

Commissioner Hege noted that The Dalles Disposal requested increase is nearly double that of the other two sanitation entities; he asked for an explanation of the disparity of requests.

Jim Winterbottom, representing Waste Connections, said that their request is based on landfill increases and a June to June CPI. He said that they usually come in at CPI or less. The increase for rural customers will be 26[¢] per month; 29[¢] per month for customers in the UGA.

Commissioner Hege asked if Mr. Zalaznik expected the rate to be approved. Mr. Zalaznik responded affirmatively adding that the City of The Dalles has already approved the rate.

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve The Dalles Disposal rate increase on an interim basis to be reviewed by the SWAC who will return to the Board with a recommendation at which time the Board will consider a permanent approval of the rate increase. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Commissioner Kramer reported that he will be attending the Maupin City Council meeting tonight – he hopes to recruit someone from southern Wasco County to serve on both the SWAC and the Tri-County Hazardous Waste Steering Committee. He said he has already had some preliminary conversations and is hopeful that someone will step forward to serve. Ms. White will work with Mr. Zalaznik to develop and application.

Discussion List – Brush Canyon Wind Project

Planning Director John Roberts asked that prior to presenting the wind project information, he would like the Board to consider a fee waiver request he received today. He explained that this same request was approved by the Board in May of 2011; this is basically a renewal of that waiver. He went on to say that the request is for \$300 for the renewal of a temporary hardship dwelling permit.

Commissioner Hege said that this dwelling is illegal under the County Code, but the Board can provide a 2-year temporary use permit; he asked what the permit would be based upon. Mr. Roberts responded that medical criteria need to be met. Commissioner Hege asked if there are any other similar permits in the County. Mr. Roberts responded that there are a large number. Commissioner

Hege asked if all were allowable. Mr. Roberts replied that they are; he explained that letters from doctors are required.

Commissioner Hege asked how the applicant has proven his financial need is such that the Board should waive the fee. Mr. Roberts replied that the applicant has offered testimony about his limited social security income. He added that after a period of time, his department will become suspicious and investigate further.

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning Department to waive the fee for the renewal of the temporary dwelling permit. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Chair Runyon suggested that it could be useful to pass the names of the hardship applicants on to agencies that might provide assistance.

Mr. Roberts reported that due to inclement weather the Brush Canyon Wind Project hearings have been continued into January. He stated that he has reviewed the 400 page EFSEC report; during that review he worked to match the EFSEC conditions to the BOCC comments. He said he has already forwarded the conditions to Public Works and Emergency Management for comment.

Mr. Roberts said that he will write a letter to present to EFSEC on behalf of the Board. He pointed out that in the application it is noted that the County had not offered any comments on visual impacts. He added that Sherman County has offered to expand their fire district into Wasco County to provide fire suppression. The applicant has addressed all of Wasco County's comments; the State Fire Marshall still has some concerns. Mr. Roberts expressed his concern that EFSEC is not allowing a reasonable amount of time for the applicant to meet all conditions and he will encourage them to relax that timeline.

Mr. Roberts went on to say that the Department of the Navy controls the air space over part of the proposed development; turbines cannot be built in those areas. He said that while some of the turbines can be moved, it may not be enough to make the project cost effective.

Mr. Roberts stated that the Soil and Water Conservation District is contracting for some lidar mapping; he suggested that this would be a cost-effective opportunity for the County to update flood plain maps by paying to expand the survey area – it would cost \$500 per square mile; to do the scenic area would cost approximately \$39,000. The lidar mapping is scheduled for June.

Mr. Stone asked Mr. Roberts what areas he would suggest to be the highest priority for updated mapping. Mr. Roberts said he might just go north to south but there are some definite problems areas – Pine Grove and the Deschutes for instance, but they are sparsely populated. He said he would be inclined to start in the northwest portion of the county in which 2/3 of the county population resides.

Commissioner Hege asked if some of the smaller cities might be able to pay to have their region mapped; he suggested we look into the possibilities for some of the outlying populated areas. He said he would like to find a way to take advantage of the opportunity.

Mr. Stone asked Mr. Roberts to return to the Board with a recommendation for contingency funding for this project.

Mr. Roberts asked how much could be spent. Mr. Stone replied that the question to the Board is: What is their willingness to spend some on this and if so, how much for this to be a worthwhile project?

Commissioner Hege said that he would prefer that Mr. Roberts come forward with his recommendation for value and then add areas based on a priority list. He also would like the cities to be included. Mr. Stone said that city managers could be contacted to determine a level of interest in participation.

Commissioner Hege stated that he thinks that the City of The Dalles would want to participate. Chair Runyon added that the Port of The Dalles might also have some interest. He added that it is important to not deplete contingency funds and suggested that they look for some federal dollars to supplement the effort.

Mr. Roberts suggested that some of the land preservation funds might be directed toward this project. Mr. Stone said that the group can look at that.

Commission Call

Chair Runyon noted that there is a NORCOR meeting tomorrow.

Commissioner Kramer announced that he would be ringing the bell on behalf of Kiwanis tomorrow afternoon

Chair Runyon brought forward an AOC memo regarding a proposed State marijuana policy. He asked that Ms. White distribute the document to the Board and suggested that the Board give some thought to what their position will be on this subject. He said that the topic will be coming back to counties and may or may not come with options. He urged the Commissioners to make their thoughts known to legislators as soon as possible.

Mr. Stone suggested that they might also think about sending a letter as a Board; should the legislation go through, the County will have to look at how it will receive revenue. Chair Runyon pointed out that Counties will bear the law enforcement and implementation costs. He said that individual letter and a letter from the group would both be helpful.

Commissioner Hege asked Commissioner Kramer to report on the status of the Household Hazardous Waste Committee. Commissioner Kramer stated that while the BOCC has accepted the offer to continue as lead agency but it has been placed on hold; North Central Public Health District will be an assignee and the committee is waiting for the dust to settle from the separation before taking any further action.

Further discussion ensued regarding the Door Decorating Contest judging. The Board agreed to meet in the Admin Office at 1:00 p.m. to begin the judging tour.

Chair Runyon adjourned the meeting at 11:23 a.m.

Summary of Actions

Motions Passed

 To approve Order #13-136 appointing Barbara Case as Wasco County's American Disability Act Coordinator.

- To approve #13-137 re-appointing Monica Morris as Wasco County's Budget Officer.
- To approve Order #13-144 re-appointing Scott McKay to the Mid-Columbia Housing Authority.
- To approve Order #13-140 re-appointing Carolyn Wood to the Mid-Columbia Council of Governments Board of Directors.
- To approve Order #13-145 re-appointing Don Uhalde to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee.
- To approve Order #13-146 re-appointing David Troxel to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee.
- To approve Order #13-147 re-appointing Jeff McCall to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee.
- To approve Order #13-169 re-appointing Jim Burres to the Wasco County Veterans Advisory Committee.
- To approve Order #13-141 re-appointing Dan Fissell to the Wasco County Weed Council.
- To approve Order #13-142 re-appointing Michael Omeg to the Wasco County Weed Council.
- To approve Order #13-143 re-appointing Jerry Duling to the Wasco County Weed Council.
- To approve Order #13-170 re-appointing Jay Waterbury to the NORCOR Budget Committee.
- To approve the Consent Agenda 12.4.2013 BOCC Minutes.
- To approve the recommendation of the SWAC to approve a 1.03% rate increase for the Wasco County Landfill and a 1% rate increase for Mel's Sanitary Service.
- To approve The Dalles Disposal rate increase on an interim basis to be reviewed by the SWAC who will return to the Board with a

recommendation at which time the Board will consider a permanent approval of the rate increase..

 To approve the recommendation of the Planning Department to waive the fee for the renewal of the temporary dwelling permit.

Consensus

- Since the first regular session of January falls on New Year's Day, the Board would hold only the January 15th session adding an addition session on January 22nd should it become necessary.
- To not join the Eastern Oregon Counties at this time.
- For Commissioner Kramer to attend the Oregon County Leadership Institute with the expense being offset in part by an AOC scholarship.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Imilon OP. Rod L/Runyoh, Comprission Chair

Scott Hege, County Commissioner

Steve Kramer, County Commissioner

DISCUSSION LIST

ACTION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS:

- 1. <u>Reappointments/Appointments</u>
- 2. January BOCC Meetings
- 3. Treasurer's Report
- 4. Eastern Oregon Counties Association
- 5. Oregon County Leadership Institute

Agenda Item Re-appointments/Appointments

- <u>Memo of Explanation</u>
- ADA Coordinator
- <u>Budget Officer</u>
- Fair Board
- Mid-Columbia Housing Authority
- Mid-Columbia Council of Governments
- Public Works Building Safety Committee
- Veterans Advisory Committee
- Weed Council
- NORCOR Budget Committee

MEMORANDUM

TO: BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

FROM: KATHY WHITE

SUBJECT: RE-APPOINTMENTS

DATE: 12/9/2013

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

There are a number of reappointments before the Board for appointments expiring at the end of 2013. I have made contact for each and you will find emails or minutes that support the most of the reappointments. The exceptions are:

- Wasco County Budget Officer Monica Morris, as Finance Manager, will continue her role as Budget Officer for the County.
- Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator this appointment has been held by the Wasco County HR Generalist. Last year that was Madeline Grant who left the County's employ earlier this year. The County's current HR Generalist is Barbara Case.

Re-appointments/Appointments ADA Coordinator

• Order #13-136 Appointing Barbara Case as Wasco

County's American Disability Act Coordinator

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF BARBARA CASE AS WASCO COUNTY'S AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT COORDINATOR.

) ORDER #13-136

)

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That due to the requirements of the

American Disability Act, Wasco County is required to appoint an American

Disability Act Coordinator; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That the current Wasco

County's American Disability Act Coordinator, Madeline Grant, is no longer employed by Wasco County; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Barbara Case,

Payroll/Human Resource Generalist, is willing and is qualified to be

appointed to serve as Wasco County's American Disability Act Coordinator.

ORDER 13-136

PAGE | 1

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Barbara Case

be and is hereby appointed as Wasco County's American Disability Act

Coordinator, said term to expire on December 31, 2015.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Eric J. Nisley Wasco County District Attorney

Reppointments/Appointments Budget Officer

• Order #13-137 Reappointing Monica Morris as

Wasco County's Budget Officer

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT) OF MONICA MORRIS AS WASCO COUNTY'S) OR DE R BUDGET OFFICER.) #13-137

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on

regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the

transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners

being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Monica Morris' term as Wasco

County Budget Officer will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Monica Morris is

willing and is qualified to be reappointed to serve as the Wasco County

Budget Officer for another term.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Monica Morris

be and is hereby reappointed as the Wasco County Budget Officer; said term

to expire on December 31, 2014.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Eric J. Nisley Wasco County District Attorney

Reappointments/Appointments Wasco County Fair Board

- Introductory Email
- <u>Michael Hunt Application</u>
- Order #13-166 Reappointing Michael Hunt to the Wasco County Fair Board
- <u>Colleena Tenold-Sauter Application</u>
- Order #13-167 Reappointing Colleena Tenold-

Sauter to the Wasco County Fair Board



Fair Board Appointments

4 messages

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: Kay Tenold <kktenold@hotmail.com> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 10:32 AM

Good Morning, Kay-

I am working on expiring appointments, two of which are for the Fair Board:

Michael Hunt Colleen Tenold-Sauter

Both of these appointments expire on 12.31.2013. I will be placing advertisements for both positions. Michael and Colleen are welcome to apply for reappointment.

I would like to have appointments ready for the BOCC in December. Will that be enough time to get recommendations from the Fair Board?

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

kay tenold <kktenold@hotmail.com> To: Kathy <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 10:39 AM

Yes, I will notify them right now and they can re-apply if they want to.....Thanks for the heads up. K

Date: Tue, 15 Oct 2013 10:32:37 -0700 Subject: Fair Board Appointments From: kathyw@co.wasco.or.us To: kktenold@hotmail.com [Quoted text hidden]

kay tenold <kktenold@hotmail.com> To: Kathy <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 10:46 AM

Just sent e-mail's to Mike and Colleen asking them if they want to re-apply. Will let you know when I hear.

Subject: Fair Board Appointments From: kathyw@co.wasco.or.us To: kktenold@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: kay tenold <kktenold@hotmail.com> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 11:03 AM

Thank you, Kay. As soon as I have advertisements prepared and applications ready, I will send them along to you - you can share them with the Board and prospective applicants. While I will advertise, I will likely get no responses. Volunteers are most often recruited; if there is anyone else you or other Board members think might be interested, please share an application with them or direct them to the front page of the County website (once the application is available there).

Thank you for your help.

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

[Quoted text hidden]

52p M.D. Hunt Construction

p.1



INFORMATION AND QUALIFICATION FORM

Wasco County Fair Board VOLUNTEER POSITIONS WASCO COUNTY, OREGON

BACKGROUND

The Wasco County Fair Board meets in the evening twice each month throughout the year. In addition to organizing the annual Wasco County Fair the Board is responsible for fund raising, managing projects on the fair grounds, oversight for Hunt Park, supervision of the Hunt Park caretaker, working with the Extension District/4H and engaging in intermittent repairs and small construction.

APPLICATION

Provide personal qualifications for this specific volunteer position. Supplementary information may be attached. Do <u>not provide confidential information</u>.

POSITION DESCRIPTION: Wasco County Fair Board Member
Name:Micheal D. Hunt
Address: <u>23 South Appleman Rd Tygh Valley, Oregon 97063</u>
Phone (home) 541-980-6077 Phone (work) 541-544-2111
E-mail address: mnljnc09@gprail.com
Signature: Mithue High
Date: 11/20/2013
Number of years as a Wasco County resident: <u>17</u> Your objectives/goals? Desired contributions and accomplishments? <u>To continue moving the Fa</u> ir
and grounds in the direction that the Board has determined Reviewing and setting achievable
goals and corresponding budgets each year and working towards accomplishing them. For example: New well and water system, new caretakers house, RV Park improvements Ken Webb kitchen improved accounting systems during the fair. Overall a board mix that complements one another. Approximate hours/week available for this commitment? <u>As needed depending on the time of yea</u> r
Comments:
Education (school, college, training, apprenticeships, degrees, etc.)
Sam Barlow High School Date(s): 1983 -1987

Page 1

-

Firefighter 1, EMT & First Responder	_Date(s): 1998 - 2010				
CCB License	_Date(s): 2002 - present				
Freon Handler License, Limited Electrical License MFHome Skirter License, 2004-Present Septic Ins Experience (work, volunteering, leadership roles, achieve	Date(s): <u>2002-present</u> tallers License 2004 - 2010 ements etc.)				
Owner M.D. Hunt Construction / Heating & AC	_Date(s): <u>4/2002 Present</u>				
Asst. Fire chief and first responder Pine Hollow FD	_Date(s):				
Maupin Area Chamber of Commerce Board member	er Date(s): 2007 Present				
Barlow Gate Grange Exec Comm. Wamic Community Church General Comments/Additional Relevant Information	Date(s):2010 - 2013 2010 - Present				
L have been on the Board of Directors for the Wasco County Fair for approx. 5 years. I feel that we					
as a Board have made many need improvements and upgrades to the Fair and grounds. We have had					
some difficult problems arise that we as a Board overcame making the Fair					
_and grounds better for the futureLwould like to continue on the Board and be a part of the future of the Wasco County Fair.					
Normal contraction of the second s					

Send completed form to:

Wasco County 511 Washington Street, Suite 101 The Dalles OR 97058 (541) 506-2520 fax (541) 506-2551

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF)MICHAEL HUNT TO THE WASCO COUNTY)FAIR BOARD.)#13-166

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly

for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public

business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Michael Hunt's term on the Wasco

County Fair Board expires on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Michael Hunt is willing

and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Fair Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Michael Hunt be and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Fair Board; said term to expire on December 31, 2016.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Eric J. Nisley, District Attorney

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

INFORMATION AND QUALIFICATION FORM

Wasco County Fair Board VOLUNTEER POSITIONS WASCO COUNTY, OREGON

BACKGROUND

The Wasco County Fair Board meets in the evening twice each month throughout the year. In addition to organizing the annual Wasco County Fair the Board is responsible for fund raising, managing projects on the fair grounds, oversight for Hunt Park, supervision of the Hunt Park caretaker, working with the Extension District/4H and engaging in intermittent repairs and small construction.

APPLICATION

Provide personal qualifications for this specific volunteer position. Supplementary information may be attached. Do <u>not</u> provide confidential information.

POSITION DESCRIPTION: Wasco County Fair Board Member			
Name: Colleena Tepold-Sauter			
Address: 3750 15-mile Road The K	DAN	25	DR. 97058
Phone (home) 541) 980-0569 Phone (work)	1	~	
E-mail address: <u>CKtenddSouter@hpti</u>	nai	1.	. Com
Signature: Colu Moren - Aut			
Date: 11-110-13			
Number of years as a Wasco County resident: 45			
Your objectives/goals? Desired contributions and accomplishme	ents?	To	be part of a
team that improves the ground	1 11		/
Lorsco County and all events in			
- cprounds			
Approximate hours/week available for this commitment?	red	2 C	1
Comments:			
Education (school, college, training, apprenticeships, degrees, etc	o.)		
teahtonka High School Date(s	s):	ac	Junted 1986

University te 1986 Date(s): reaph comunity blege Date(s): 2005 SOLAG 200 Date(s): 1er do Experience (work, volunteering, leadership roles, achievements etc.) STATE OF OR - PHS-Adult Protection Currer Date(s): Specialist Service mid Date(s): 20 Curre ASSOC. Dall alle. edder aren 201 -1+ Date(s): 200 Date(s): General Comments/Additional Relevant Information Wasco Lount attended the 05 tair chi dren thraco L 10 19 19 mu 10 re nP PC ato ne onco lecision) ma VP 0 D YOS ount

Send completed form to:

Wasco County 511 Washington Street, Suite 101 The Dalles OR 97058 (541) 506-2520 fax (541) 506-2551

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

ORDER

#13-167

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF) COLLEENA TENOLD-SAUTER TO THE WASCO) COUNTY FAIR BOARD.)

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Colleena Tenold-Sauter's term on

the Wasco County Fair Board expires on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Colleena Tenold-

Sauter is willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Fair Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Michael Hunt be and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Fair Board; said term to expire on December 31, 2016.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Eric J. Nisley, District Attorney

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner
Reppointments/Appointments Mid-Columbia Housing Authority

- Introductory Email
- Order #13-144 Reappointing Scott McKay to the

Mid-Columbia Housing Authority Board of

Directors



Mid-Columbia Housing Authority Board of Directors

3 messages

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: Scott McKay <smckay1948@gmail.com> Wed, Oct 16, 2013 at 7:49 AM

Good Morning, Scott-

Your appointment to the Mid-Columbia Housing Authority Board will expire in early 2014. Would you be interested in being reappointed to that position?

Thank you for your help.

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

Scott McKay <smckay1948@gmail.com> To: Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us>

Kathy, Yes that would be fine with me. Hope things are going well. Take care, Scott [Quoted text hidden]

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: Scott McKay <smckay1948@gmail.com> Wed, Oct 16, 2013 at 6:12 PM

Thu, Oct 17, 2013 at 4:09 AM

Thank you, Scott. I expect to have the appointment before the Board in December and will send you a copy of the order once it is filed.

Thank you for your quick response. :-)

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058

IN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF)SCOTT MC KAY TO THE MID-COLUMBIA)HOUSING AUTHORITY.)#13-144

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on

regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the

transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of County

Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Scott McKay's term on the

Mid-Columbia Housing Authority will expire on February 28, 2014; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Scott McKay is

willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Mid-Columbia Housing

Authority for another term.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Scott McKay

be and is hereby reappointed to the Mid-Columbia Housing Authority for a

term to expire on December 31, 2017.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Reppointments/Appointments Mid-Columbia Council of Governments

- Introductory Email
- Order #13-140 Reappointing Carolyn Wood to the Mid-Columbia Council of Governments Board of Directors



MCCOG Appointment

5 messages

 Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us>
 Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 9:16 AM

 To: Tine Meriwether <Tine.Meriwether@mccog.com>, "Izetta F. Grossman" <igrossman@ci.the-dalles.or.us>

Good Morning, Ladies-

I am looking at appointments that expire at the end of this calendar year; one of those appointments is Carolyn Wood who, while officially appointed by the BOCC, is the City's representative on the MCCOG Board.

I know I am a little early, but would like to get the appointment on a BOCC agenda in December; the City will need time to make a recommendation to the Board for the appointment.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to help.

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

7-	Carolyn Wood	MCCOG	Appointment.pdf
	33K		

Julie Krueger <jkrueger@ci.the-dalles.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 11:47 AM To: "Izetta F. Grossman" <igrossman@ci.the-dalles.or.us>, kathyw@co.wasco.or.us

When City Councilors are appointed as City representatives, it is for a 2 year period, coinciding with their terms of service, so the City would assume Carolyn to be our representative through December 2014. In January of 2015, the Mayor would make any changes to Council appointments to various boards, commissions, and committees.

Julie

From: Izetta F. Grossman Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2013 9:17 AM To: Julie Krueger Subject: FW: MCCOG Appointment Izetta Grossman

Executive Secretary

City of The Dalles

313 Court Street

The Dalles, OR 97058

541-296-5481 Ext 1119

541-296-6906 fax

www.thedalles.org

From: Kathy White [mailto:kathyw@co.wasco.or.us] Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2013 9:17 AM To: Tine Meriwether; Izetta F. Grossman Subject: MCCOG Appointment

[Quoted text hidden]

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: Julie Krueger <jkrueger@ci.the-dalles.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 12:00 PM

Thank you, Julie. I will draft an order extending her appointment through the end of 2014. I have made a note to have City appointees terms coincide with their elected terms as Council members.

Thank you for your help.

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

[Quoted text hidden]

Thank you!

From: Kathy White [mailto:kathyw@co.wasco.or.us] Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2013 12:01 PM To: Julie Krueger Subject: Re: MCCOG Appointment

[Quoted text hidden]

 Tine Meriwether <Tine.Meriwether@mccog.com>
 Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 1:34 PM

 To: "Izetta F. Grossman" <igrossman@ci.the-dalles.or.us>, Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us>

Thank you Kathy for bringing this forward now. Hopefully, Councilor Wood will consider reappointment.

Cordially,

- Tine

Tine Meriwether, Executive Assistant Mid-Columbia Council of Governments 1113 Kelly Avenue The Dalles, OR 97058 (541) 298-4101, ext. 215 Fax (541) 298-2084

>>> Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> 10/15/2013 9:16 AM >>> [Quoted text hidden]

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF CAROLYN WOOD TO THE MID-COLUMBIA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

ORDER) #13-140

)

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on

regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the

transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners

being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Carolyn Wood's term on the

Mid-Columbia Council of Governments Board of Directors will expire on

December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That City

Councilwoman Carolyn Wood is willing and is gualified to be appointed to the

Mid-Columbia Council of Governments Board of Directors to complete her

term.

ORDER 13-140

PAGE | 1

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Rod Runyon

be and is hereby appointed to the Mid-Columbia Council of Governments

Board of Directors; said term to expire on December 31, 2014.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Reppointments/Appointments Public Works Building Safety Committee

- Introductory Email
- Order #13-145 Reappointing Don Uhalde to the Public Works Building Safety Committee
- Order #13-146 Reappointing David Troxel to the Public Works Building Safety Committee
- Order #13-147 Reappointing Jeff McCall to the
 Public Works Building Safety Committee



Public Works Safety Committee Appointments

3 messages

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: Don Uhalde <donu@co.wasco.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 11:21 AM

Good Morning, Don-

Appointments for the following members of the Public Works Safety Committee will expire at the end of this calendar year:

David Troxel (completing term for Don Lewis)

Jeff McCall

Don Uhalde

Please let me know if all are eligible and willing to be re-appointed to another 2-year term.

Thank you for your help.

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

Don Uhalde <donu@co.wasco.or.us> To: Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> Mon, Oct 21, 2013 at 4:33 PM

Hi Kathy,

I talked to Dave and Jeff about re-appointment for another two year term on the Safety Committee. They both as well as myself are ready for another two years on the Committee. Thanks for setting this up for us.

Don Uhalde Road Superintendent Wasco County Road Department 2705 East 2nd Street The Dalles, Or. 97058 541-506-2649 [Quoted text hidden] To: Don Uhalde <donu@co.wasco.or.us>

Thank you, Don. I will get the appointments before the Board sometime in December.

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

[Quoted text hidden]

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF) DON UHALDE TO THE WASCO COUNTY) OR DE R PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING SAFETY COMMITTEE.) #13-145

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Don Uhalde's term on the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Don Uhalde is willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee as a Management Representative. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Don Uhalde be

and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety

Committee; said term to expire on December 31, 2015.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF) DAVID TROXEL TO THE WASCO COUNTY) OR DE R PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING SAFETY COMMITTEE.) #13-146

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That David Troxel's term on the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That David Troxel is willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee as a Management Representative. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That David Troxel

be and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Public Works Building

Safety Committee; said term to expire on December 31, 2015.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF) JEFF MCCALL TO THE WASCO COUNTY) OR DE R PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING SAFETY COMMITTEE.) #13-147

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Jeff McCall's term on the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Jeff McCall is willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety Committee as a Management Representative. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Jeff McCall be

and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Public Works Building Safety

Committee; said term to expire on December 31, 2015.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Reppointments/Appointments Veterans Advisory Committee

- VAC Minutes
- Order #13-169 Reappointing Jim Burres to the

Veterans Advisory Committee

	Veteran Advisory Committee 500 Washington St. The Dalles, OR 97058 November 7, 2013, 3PM
Present	Members: Donna Lawrence, Matt Larsell, Gary Pratt, Mark Fortin, Kathleen Martin, Jim Burres, Andretta Schellinger
	Guests: Rod Runyon, Tyler Stone, Russell Jones
Not Present	N/A
Called to Order	Ms. Lawrence called the meeting to order at 3:00pm
Approval Of Minutes	Ms. Martin Moved to approve the minutes from October 3, 2013. Mr. Fortin seconded. Motion passed unanimously.
OLD BUSINESS Letter to Klickitat County Veterans	A letter to all veterans residing in Klickitat county went out in October regarding the transition from Wasco case management to Klickitat case management. To date there has been little to no information regarding the VSO for Klickitat county.
Updated on Veteran Home/Clinic Signs	Signs have been ordered. Senator Wyden wrote a letter saying that it should be done by mid-December. If it has not been done by then, weather permitting, then someone needs to be called. These signs will allow for veterans to have clearer directions to the resources needed.
Letter to DC Editor	Ms. Martin read a note regarding a letter to be sent to the Editor of The Dalles Chronicle regarding help and support for veterans in Wasco county. The note sounds really good and the committee gave Ms. Martin the okay to send to the editor.
Sanctuary Update	Ms. Lawrence and Ms. Martin went to the sanctuary training and while it is a really good program it does not seem to be able to transition to what we need for veterans. The model can be used in pieces for the VSO and VAC if desired. Since it does not look like the sanctuary method will be used, the VAC needs to make sure that some form of support group. We could look at using the college.
NEW BUSINESS Warm Springs Discussion	Warm Springs Indian Nation has expressed interest in partnering with Wasco County for VSO services. Les brought up that there was a need for veteran services. Les and Mr. Lawrence are in contact with the nation to determine how serious they are with negotiations. Due to Ms. Schellinger's possible connections with the Warm Springs Nation, she may be able to get a rough number on how many veterans there are in Warm Springs as part of the Nation.

Letter from Ad Hoc	Les Cochenour the President of the Veteran Ad Hoc Committee wrote the VAC a
Committee	letter requesting our support in renaming the Outpatient Clinic to honor local
	Congressional Medal Of Honor recipient Loren R. Kaufman. Mr. Fortin moved
	to write a letter in support of the name change. Mr. Burres seconded. Motion
	Passed unanimously.

Term limits According to our records Mr. Burres and Mr. Pratt terms are due to expire December 31, 2013. However according to our bylaws it states that once Klickitat County no longer is paying Wasco County for case management, they will no longer have a representative on the Veteran Advisory Committee. Either the committee reviews the bylaws to address this issue or look at how the bylaws and the committee is structured. Mr. Pratt is an individual who is also on the memorial committee which proves two fold for our committee. The committee after a lengthy discussion as recommended that Mr. Stone and Kathy reword the bylaws to enable the board to recommend an Ex Officio board member.

This brings us back to the initial issue that currently everyone serves a 1 year term with a maximum of 2 terms. What this means is that every four years all of the board members will be new. This allows for new blood but also decreases all institutional knowledge. Mr. Burres requests to serve again if possible. Ms. Martin states that if needed she can step down. Mr. Fortin also states that if needed he can step down. A discussion regarding the benefits and determents of having longer term limits and having no term limits. Ms. Schellinger moved to recommend Mr. Burres to the County Commissioners for an additional term. Mr. Larsell seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Martin moved to recommend to the County Commissioners a change in the bylaws to increase terms to 2 years and to remove term limits. Mr. Burres seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

Disabled Housing Information Ms. Schellinger explained the opportunities that Mid-Columbia Housing Authority has for disabled veterans. Ms. Schellinger explained the preference given to disabled veterans for Section 8 vouchers. She also explained what section 8 vouchers were and how they help an individual. In addition to Section 8 Vouchers, Ms. Schellinger explained VASH vouchers and how it would really benefit the community to have them. Mr. Jones talked about how the Point in Time Count was due in January if we wanted to be looked at for VASH vouchers.

VSO Update Patrick and Mr. Jones went to a conference in SunRiver that had a lawyer there who explained legal writing in requests for D&C and Pension. Mr. Jones said that it was a really good conference.

In October there were 7 awards, 4 denials, 14 claims filed, 434 contacts in person, telephone, and/or email. Those awards equaled 8,681 in month payments and 66,098 in back payments. That brings the counties total monthly and retroactive payments to \$859,970.

	There is a pilot program starting soon for VA dental. Veterans have until the end of the year to purchase dental insurance.
Discussion regarding funding	Warm Springs is an option for possible additional funding. The VAC could also look at doing fundraising activities to bring more money in for the next year.
Meeting adjourned	Meeting was adjourned at 4:33pm

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT)	
OF JIM BURRES TO THE WASCO COUNTY)	ORDER
VETERANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE)	#13-169

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That the Jim Burres' appointment to the

Veterans Advisory Committee will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That the Veterans Advisory

has recommended Mr. Burres for reappointment; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Jim Burres is willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Veterans Advisory Committee. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Jim Burres be and is hereby appointed to the Veterans Advisory Committee; said term to expire on December 31, 2015.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Eric J. Nisley, District Attorney

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

Reppointments/Appointments Weed Council

- Introductory Email
- Order #13-141 Reappointing Dan Fissell to the
 Wasco County Weed Council
- Order #13-142 Reappointing Michael Omeg to the Wasco County Weed Council
- Order #13-143 Reappointing Jerry Duling to the Wasco County Weed Council



Weed Council

3 messages

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: Merle Keys <merlek@co.wasco.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 11:44 AM

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us>

Good Morning, Merle-

I am working on appointments set to expire at the end of this calendar year. Three such appointments are for the Weed Council:

Dan Fissell Michael Omeg Jerry Duling

I will need to know if these appointees are willing and eligible to be reappointed.

Thank you for your help.

Thank you,

Kathy White Executive Assistant Wasco County Board of County Commissioners 511 Washington Street The Dalles, OR 97058 work 541.506.2520 fax 541.506.2551

Merle Keys <merlek@co.wasco.or.us> To: Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 2:52 PM

Kathy,

I haven't had very many Weed Board meetings since Sandy left to work for the sheriffs office. Actually only 1 meeting so far. The three named persons are willing to be reappointed at this time. Thanks Merle [Quoted text hidden]

Kathy White <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us> To: Merle Keys <merlek@co.wasco.or.us> Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 3:31 PM

Thank you, Merle. I will get the appointments before the Board in December and send you copies once the appointments are made.

Thank you for your help.

Thank you,

Kathy White

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF) DAN FISSELL TO THE WASCO COUNTY WEED) COUNCIL.)

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on

regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the

transaction of public business and a majority of the Court being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Dan Fissell's term on the

Wasco County Weed Council will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Dan Fissell is

willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Weed Council.

ORDER

#13-141

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Dan Fissell be

and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Weed Council; said term to

expire on December 31, 2017.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF) MICHAEL OMEG TO THE WASCO COUNTY WEED) OR DE R COUNCIL.) #13-142

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on

regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the

transaction of public business and a majority of the Court being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Michael Omeg's term on the

Wasco County Weed Council will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Michael Omeg is

willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Weed Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Michael Omeg

be and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Weed Council; said term

to expire on December 31, 2017.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT OF) JERRY DULING TO THE WASCO COUNTY WEED) OR DE R COUNCIL.) #13-143

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on

regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the

transaction of public business and a majority of the Court being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Jerry Duling's term on the

Wasco County Weed Council will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Jerry Duling is

willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the Wasco County Weed Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Jerry Duling be

and is hereby reappointed to the Wasco County Weed Council; said term to

expire on December 31, 2017.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott Hege, County Commissioner

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Eric J. Nisley Wasco County District Attorney

ORDER 13-143

Re-appointments/Appointments NORCOR Budget Committee

- Introductory Email
- Order #13-170 Reappointing Jay Waterbury to the

NORCOR Budget Committee



Fwd: Meeting December 19, 2013

3 messages

Rod Runyon <rodr@co.wasco.or.us>

Fri, Dec 13, 2013 at 9:33 AM Kathy White

To: Scott Hege <scotth@co.wasco.or.us>, Steve Kramer <stevek@co.wasco.or.us>, Kathy White < <kathyw@co.wasco.or.us>, Tyler Stone <tylers@co.wasco.or.us>

Jay Waterbury has reviewed the attached NORCOR Budget calendar dates and we have spoken by phone. So far he is all good with being on the budget committee for NORCOR. He will let me know if anything changes.

Rod

Ron Rivers <riversrc@gmail.com>, Scott Hege <scotth@co.wasco.or.us>, Steve Shaffer <steve.shaffer@co.gilliam.or.us>, Tom McCoy <tmccoy@gorge.net>, Tyler Stone <tylers@co.wasco.or.us>, Vicki Winters <vicki.winters@co.gilliam.or.us>, Will Carey <wcarey@gorge.net>

Just a reminder of the meeting set for:

Thursday, December 19, 2013 at the Juvenile Center at 12:00.

Also I have attached a proposed Budget Calendar for the next budget cycle and the agenda as it now stands. Lunch is just sandwichs and fruit unless I hear significant screaming. Jim

James K. Weed Administrator NORCOR Corrections 201 Webber Street, The Dalles, OR 97058

Phone: 541-298-1576 Fax: 541-298-1082 Email: jweed@norcor.co

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REAPPOINTMENT)	
OF JAY WATERBURY TO THE NORCOR)	ORDER
BUDGET COMMITTEE)	#13-170

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That the Jay Waterbury's appointment

to the Northern Oregon Regional Correctional (NORCOR) Facility Budget

Committee will expire on December 31, 2013; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That Jay Waterbury is

willing and is qualified to be reappointed to the NORCOR Budget Committee.
NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That Jay Waterbury be and is hereby re-appointed to the NORCOR Budget Committee; said term to expire on December 31, 2014.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2013.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner

Eric J. Nisley, District Attorney

Steve D. Kramer, County Commissioner

Discussion List Item January BOCC Meetings

<u>Memo of Explanation</u>

MEMORANDUM

TO: BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

FROM: KATHY WHITE

SUBJECT: JANUARY 2014 BOCC SESSION SCHEDULE

DATE: 12/12/2013

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The first regularly scheduled Board session in 2014 falls on New Year's Day. At the 12.4.2013 session the Board postponed a decision regarding their January, 2014 session schedule to the 12.18.2013 session in order to have more information about the level of need for Board appointments in that month.

The Current requests I have for January are all for January 15th:

- Administration of the Urban Growth Area (45 minute appointment)
- Enterprise Zone Budget Adjustment Public Hearing (15 minutes)
- VSO Quarterly Report (15 minute appointment)
- Wasco County Audit Report
- Wasco County 4-H & Extension Service District Audit Report
- Wasco County Library Service District Audit Report

Audit Reports combined is 30 minutes.

Estimated time for all 3

It is likely that other items will be submitted for addition to the agenda, but right now there is nothing on the discussion list, only the minutes on the consent agenda and $1\frac{3}{4}$ hours of agenda items.

Discussion List Item Treasurer's Report

- November 2013 Report
- December 2013 Report
- December 2012 Report



WASCO COUNTY

Finance Department

Treasury

Chad Krause Treasurer

Suite 206 511 Washington Street The Dalles, Oregon 97058-2268 (541) 506-2772 Fax (541) 506-2771

November 12, 2013

- TO: Wasco County Board of Commissioners
- FROM: Chad Krause, Wasco County Treasurer
- RE: Monthly Financial Statement

As of November 1, 2013, Wasco County had cash on hand of **<u>\$18,918,719.98</u>**

Funds on deposit at US Bank (a qualified depository for public funds under ORS 295):

\$ 3,163,843.62

Funds available to earn interest do so at the annualized rate of 0.005%

Funds on deposit in the Local Government Investment Pool:

\$ 15,754,876.36

Funds available to earn interest do so at the annualized rate of 0.5400%

Total outstanding checks of Wasco County: \$29,503.78



WASCO COUNTY

Finance Department

Treasury Chad Krause Treasurer

Suite 206 511 Washington Street The Dalles, Oregon 97058-2268 (541) 506-2772 Fax (541) 506-2771

December 10, 2013

TO: Wasco County Board of Commissioners

FROM: Chad Krause, Wasco County Treasurer

RE: Monthly Financial Statement

As of December 1, 2013, Wasco County had cash on hand of \$29,608,993.40

Funds on deposit at US Bank (a qualified depository for public funds under ORS 295):

\$ 5,629,469.72

Funds available to earn interest do so at the annualized rate of 0.005%

Funds on deposit in the Local Government Investment Pool:

\$ 23,979,523.68

Funds available to earn interest do so at the annualized rate of 0.5400%

Total outstanding checks of Wasco County: \$381,781.51



WASCO COUNTY

Finance Department

Treasury Chad Krause

Treasurer

Suite 207 511 Washington Street The Dalles, Oregon 97058-2268 (541) 506-2772 Fax (541) 506-2771

December 7, 2012

- TO: Wasco County Board of Commissioners
- FROM: Chad Krause, Wasco County Treasurer
- RE: Monthly Financial Statement

As of December 1, 2012, Wasco County had cash on hand of \$24,813,864.61

Funds on deposit at US Bank (a qualified depository for public funds under ORS 295):

\$ 3,485,925.87

Funds available to earn interest do so at the annualized rate of 0.005%

Funds on deposit in the Local Government Investment Pool:

\$21,328,038.74

Funds available to earn interest do so at the annualized rate of 0.600%

Total outstanding warrant indebtedness of Wasco County: \$825,831.06

Discussion List Eastern Oregon Counties Association

• No documents have been submitted for this item

- RETURN TO AGENDA

Discussion List Oregon County Leadership Institute

<u>PSU Pamphlet</u>

Are you a leader?

Could you be a more effective leader?

Do you want to be a *statesman*?

If you answered 'yes' to any of these questions, you'll want to participate in the

Oregon County Leadership Institute

- Eight one-day sessions (4th Monday of the month: January 27, February 24, March 24, April 28, June 23, July 28, September 22, October 27).
- All classes held at the Local Government Center in Salem.
- Expert instruction from Portland State University, Center for Public Service.
- Explore leadership practices for individual, team, county organization and community.
- Integrate leadership practices across political and executive domains.

Improve your leadership effectiveness and start on your way to becoming a real "statesman"

Oregon County Leadership Institute

Class Dates:

Fourth Monday of the month: January 27, February 24, March 24, April 28, June 23, July 28, September 22, October 27

Tuition: \$1,950 - limited financial aid available

Apply: Go to www.aocweb.org, "resources" and then "education" OR email Laura at lcleland@aocweb.org

Applications are due by December 31, 2013

For more information contact: Laura Cleland Association of Oregon Counties 503-585-8351 Icleland@aocweb.org

Oregon County Leadership Institute

Building Statesmen

Brought to you by the



and



The goal of the Oregon County Leadership Institute (OCLI) is to improve the leadership practice of attendees – both politically and administratively – within and across each of the following four leadership domains:

Individual leadership, with an emphasis on selfassessment, self-learning and self-practice.

Leading teams, with an emphasis on interpersonal, facilitative and decision-making skills to lead in interdisciplinary, interorganizational and interjurisdictional work teams and projects.

Leading the organization, with an emphasis on formulating and working politically and administratively to lead effective and ethical organizations that empower highly motivated employees.

Leading the community, with an emphasis on engaging the drivers in the external environment to strategically position counties to achieve their public mission.

Throughout each of the separate curricular units, the instructors will seek to integrate what participants have learned by using a combination of current research and field application problems.

Case Applications: Center for Public Service (CPS) staff will work closely with the OCLI team leaders to develop and present case application problems specific to Oregon counties.

Outcomes: Through the use of case application exercises, participants will be able to: 1) Acquire the skills for team project problem-solving; 2) Understand how organizational and jurisdictional role differentiation can be used successfully to accomplish the mission of the county; 3) Understand what it takes to transform individual team-building skills into successful organizational work across jurisdictional and organizational boundaries; 4) Apply the skills of reflective practice to engage in continuous improvement of organizational and policy challenges.



Phil Keisling, Director Phil took the reins of directing CPS within the Mark O. Hatfield School of Government in July 2010. Prior to his arrival at PSU, he was senior vice-president of

marketing for CorSource Technology group, a Beaverton, Oregon-based software services company.

Phil's passion for public service originates from his first love – politics. He has worked on campaigns, as an aide to Oregon Speaker of the House Vera Katz and as Oregon Secretary of State 1991-99. He spent time as a journalist in Oregon and Washington, D.C., and also has non-profit experience. He has served on the boards of the Oregon Business Association, the Software Association of Oregon, the Understanding Government Foundation, and Childswork Pre-school.

Marcus Ingle, Professor



Dr. Marcus Ingle is a professor of Public Administration and director of International Public Service in the Center for Public Service in the Mark O. Hatfield School of

Government at Portland State University. Dr. Ingle has extensive federal and international experience. At PSU, Dr. Ingle teaches graduate courses in strategic management, program and project management, leadership, policy implementation and governance. For 20 years Dr. Ingle taught graduate seminars at the University of Maryland and at American University. Dr. Ingle holds a Masters of Public Administration from the University of Washington and a Ph.D. in Social Science from the Maxwell School of Public Affairs, Syracuse University.

Scott Lazenby is a for the EMPA proform the Hatfield

Scott Lazenby is an adjunct faculty member for the EMPA program. He earned his PhD from the Hatfield School in 2009, and has a master's degree in public policy & manage-

ment from Carnegie-Mellon University and a bachelor's degree in physics from Reed College.

Dr. Lazenby is the city manager of Lake Oswego, Oregon. He has also served as city manager for Sandy, Oregon,

Meet the faculty

management and budget director for Glendale, Arizona, and assistant to the city manager for Vancouver, Washington. He is a past president of the Oregon City/County Management Association, the chair of the Advisory Board on Graduate Education for the International City/County Management Association, and the author of the novel *Playing With Fire*.



Joe Hertzberg, Solid Ground Consulting

Joe is a partner in Solid Ground Consulting and was formerly on the political science faculty at Yale University. He has worked in

Oregon for more than 25 years, specializing in strategy, leadership, and organization. He has helped many local governments set goals and decide how to work together effectively, including the cities of Portland, Beaverton, Bend, Lake Oswego, Oregon City, Tigard, Wilsonville and Los Angeles. In addition, Joe's organizational development and leadership enhancement work has included the elected and administrative teams in Clackamas, Lane, Multnomah, and Washington counties.



Cathy LaTourette, Senior Instructor

Cathy LaTourette joined the Center for Public Service in fall 2012 as faculty in the Executive Masters of Public

Administration program, and manager for the Next Generation Initiative Programs. She brings over 28 years of human resource management experience primarily at the executive level. For the past 11 years she served as the associate vice president for human resources at PSU with approximately 30,000 students and over 5,000 employees leading the full scope of HR and payroll functions. Prior to PSU, Ms. LaTourette initiated and developed the HR function for Sysco Food Services of Portland, the Oregon division of this Fortune 100 food service distribution company. Earlier in her career Cathy worked for the Muscular Dystrophy Association. Her career spans the public, private and non-profit sectors, she holds an MPA from PSU and the Senior Professional Certification in HR Management.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. Minutes - 12.4.2013 Regular Session



PRESENT: Rod Runyon, Commission Chair Scott Hege, County Commissioner Steve Kramer, County Commissioner Tyler Stone, County Administrator Kathy White, Executive Assistant

At 9:01 a.m. Chair Runyon opened the Regular Session of the Board of Commissioners with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Ms. White asked that the SWAC be removed from the agenda and the NORCOR Budget Committee Appointment be added to the Discussion List.

Commissioner Kramer asked that Household Hazardous Waste be added to the Discussion List.

Open to the Public – Walston Grade Music Festival

Gayle Ordway came forward and read a statement into the record (attached) regarding a music festival that took place over the Thanksgiving weekend on property adjacent to his property.

Chair Runyon asked Mr. Ordway how far his home is from the site. Mr. Ordway replied that it is a half mile at most; adding that the stage was facing his home.

Commissioner Hege asked Mr. Ordway if the property owner had communicated with him prior to the event. Mr. Ordway reported that there was a time when he and his wife had gone to check on their cabin, located on another piece of adjacent property, when they saw the landowner building a structure. Mr. & Mrs. Ordway had asked what he was building and the landowner had responded that he was building an outhouse to be used for a festival that would be taking place on his property in two weeks. Mr. Ordway went on to say that the landowner had

held a similar festival in the spring of 2013 but the Ordways had been out of town and cannot attest to what occurred at that time.

Chair Runyon noted that at the last meeting of the Planning Director presented preliminary research on noise ordinances in other Oregon Counties to serve as a basis for a possible noise ordinance in Wasco County.

Mr. Ordway stated that he should not have to leave his home to escape the noise.

Chair Runyon asked Mr. Ordway at what time would he expect the noise to discontinue. Mr. Ordway replied that it should stop no later than midnight. He added that there is another festival planned for spring break 2014; the group has already built a burning man structure for that event.

Carol Beard of The Dalles stated that she has property that adjoins both the festival property and the Ordway's property. She reported that from her house she and her sister could hear the language being used over the sound system; she described it as vulgar saying that the "f" word was used over and over again. She reminded the Board that this community has already lived through the Rajneeshpuram; she stated that this group is a religion that plans to turn the property into an organic farm/commune/festival site. She said they already have expansive festivals in Nevada and advised the Board members to look up the Burning Man on the internet to learn more about them.

Anita Ordway shared a report with the Board (attached) that outlines attendance – 364 tickets had been sold with another 228 tentative and over 10,000 invitations sent out. She went on to describe other items that could be accessed through the public face book site. She reported that the music started at 1 p.m. each afternoon and went on until 7:30 a.m.; she could hear voices, music and the beat – the language was very offensive.

Commissioner Hege asked if the home she is talking about is their permanent residence. Mrs. Ordway replied that it is and that on their other adjacent property they have a cabin. She said that the people who own the festival property are nice but that she thinks they should communicate their intentions and respect the rights of their neighbors. She feels like they tell people what they want to hear and then do something else. She said that she and her husband are not trying to shut down the festival; they just want the issues addressed.

Chair Runyon asked if there has been any trespassing. Mr. Ordway replied that there has and described an incident in which the adjacent property owner had opened a gate that was clearly marked "no trespassing" and used the opening to reposition heavy equipment damaging his ground in the process.

The Board thanked everyone for bringing this to their attention and assured them that they are looking into the issue.

Discussion List – CAMI Grant

Chief Deputy District Attorney Leslie Wolf stated that she could not be available for the CAMI Grant budget adjustment scheduled for later in the morning and was here to answer any questions the Board had regarding the grant. Chair Runyon asked that she give a brief summary of the grant.

Ms. Wolf stated that the CAMI Grant has been in place since before she came to the County and it traditionally covers part of the Chief Deputy District Attorney's salary along with administration, training, medical assessments, expert witnesses, translators, and supplies for children's interviews. She explained that in October the State Legislature had passed the new allocation which increased the Wasco County grant by over \$7,000 per year; the first payment came in on October 21st.

Commissioner Kramer questioned the numbers Ms. Wolf had reported as compared with the dollar amounts in the budget adjustment. Ms. White said that they would have to ask Finance Manager Monica Morris about that when she arrives for the budget adjustment.

Agenda Item – Easton: PLASAR-11-10-0014 (remand from Gorge Commission: Rowena Dell Lot 8)

Chair Runyon opened the hearing at 9:31 a.m.:

We will now commence and open the public hearing on the agenda to hear and consider a remand from the Columbia River Gorge Commission. Specially: Remand and revisions to File # PLASAR-11-10-0014 (PLAAPL-11-12-0002 & PLAAPL-11-12-0003), request by owners Karen Easton and David Kuehn for a

scenic area review permit to construct a 2,400 sq. ft. dwelling and attached garage. The property is described as 2N 12E 3 C, tax lot 2800, or Account # 821.

The criteria for approval of a land use decision are contained in the Wasco County National Scenic Area Land Use and Development Ordinance ("NSA LUDO" as amended). The specific criteria applicable to this request are contained in Chapter 3 (R-R Rural Residential Zone), Chapter 11 (Fire Safety Standards), and Chapter 14 (Scenic Area Review). Generally, unless otherwise noted, if a request is found to be consistent with the NSA LUDO it is considered consistent with the Management Plan for the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area.

The procedure I would like to follow is:

- Disclosure of Interest, Ex Parte Contact or Potential Conflicts
- Recognize Parties
- Reading of the Rules of Evidence
- Planning department will present some background and their Staff Summary
- Applicant I (Easton) testimony to speak in favor of the proposal
- Any other party who wishes to speak in favor or opposition of the proposal
- Close the hearing for question and deliberation
- If enough information is available the Board will render a decision

Time Limitations

If numerous people in the audience wish to testify, do a show of hands of those who would like to testify either for or against the proposal. If a lot of people want to testify, they will be limited to **5 minutes** and their testimony will be timed.

Chair Runyon asked if any member of the Board wished to disqualify themselves for any personal or financial interest in this matter. There were none.

Chair Runyon asked if any Board member wished to report any significant ex parte or pre-hearing contacts. Chair Runyon reported having met with the planning department regarding a script for the hearing and a review of facts.

Chair Runyon asked if any member of the audience wished to challenge the right of any Board member to hear this matter. There were none.

Chair Runyon asked if any member of the audience wished to question the jurisdiction of the Board to act on behalf of Wasco County on this matter. There were none.

Commissioner Kramer recused himself from deciding this matter citing an incomplete knowledge of the facts; he was not a member of the Board when the case was originally heard. He asked to remain a part of the conversation.

Chair Runyon went on to explain that anyone can speak for or against the proposal; however, only those who have "party" status per Section 2.190 of the NSA LUDO will be able to appeal a decision reached by the Board. Those wishing to have party status need to state so at the beginning of their testimony; the Board will deliberate about granting party status to each person who requests it.

Chair Runyon outlined the Rules of Evidence:

No person shall present irrelevant, immaterial, or unduly repetitious testimony or evidence.

Evidence received shall be of a quality that reasonable persons rely upon in the conduct of their daily affairs.

Testimony and evidence must be directed toward the criteria applicable to the subject hearing or to criteria that the party believes apply to the decision.

Chair Runyon asked staff to present background and a staff summary.

Planning Director John Roberts displayed a map and some photos (attached) of the property and building plans for the proposed structure, pointing out relevant items.

Commissioner Hege asked what the zoning is on the property. Mr. Roberts replied that it is RR5 Special Management Area. Commissioner Hege asked if it is unusual to have a property be both RR5 and Special Management. Mr. Roberts replied that it is a little unusual.

Mr. Roberts stated that the staff recommendation is for approval. He stated that his department has conducted pre-notice and mailing for this hearing as well as the previous hearing. He explained that at the previous hearing the intent was to move this issue along to the Gorge Commission; in order to do that it had to be denied by both the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners. Since that time, the landowners have come to an agreement; as a result, the Gorge Commission has remanded it to the Board of County Commissioners. At the original hearing, the Board had committed to hearing the case on its merits should it be remanded to them.

Commissioner Hege asked why it had not gone back to the Planning Commission. Mr. Roberts replied that when it came before the Planning Commission originally they made no changes so there was no need to send it back to them.

Mr. Roberts went on to say that the site plan had been revised, shrinking the footprint and height of the house to better address impacts to key viewing areas. In addition, five screening trees will be planted. He stated that there are many issues to be addressed when building in the scenic area and he feels all have been addressed which supports the decision to recommend approval. The revised site plan is also in line with codes.

Mr. Roberts noted that after working with both parties, the Planning Department is recommending one change; rather than say any tree that dies on the site must be replaced it should read that any tree on the site plan that dies should be replaced.

Dave Hunnicut, representing Dave Keen and Karen Easton, said that the issue is back before the Board on a voluntary remand. Mr. Keen and Ms. Easton had

asked for time to resolve the issues and the Gorge Commission placed it on hold. Mr. Hunnicut said that it is a rare occurrence, but a settlement agreement was reached with the Friends of the Gorge at which time he filed with the Gorge Commission who agreed and remanded the case to the Board of County Commissioners. He closed by saying that he and his clients wholeheartedly agree with the staff report and are hopeful that the Board will see fit to approve the application.

Nathan Baker, representing the Friends of the Gorge, stated that they had initially opposed the application but are now in full support of the new site plan and staff report. He said that with the changes to the site plan, Friends of the Gorge believe that the development has been brought in line; they recommend approval.

Chair Runyon asked if there was any rebuttal testimony. Hearing none, he closed the record and the hearing and moved the Board into deliberations.

Commissioner Hege commended both parties on their successful efforts to reach an agreement, saying that he wishes more issues could be resolved through discussion and compromise.

{{Commissioner Hege moved to recommend approval of Planning Case PLASAR-11-10-0014 with the findings recommended by the planning staff in the staff report prepared for the December 4, 2013 public hearing. The following modification to the recommended condition of approval C.6 (Landscaping – Retain Existing Trees & Replacement) shall be made:

First Sentence: Maintenance of all trees identified on the revised site plan shall be required . . .

Second Sentence: Any trees identified on the revised site plan that are lost or removed for any reason shall be replaced "identified on the revised site plan" that are lost or removed

Chair Runyon seconded the motion which passed with affirmative votes from Chair Runyon and Commissioner Hege and a recusal from Commissioner Kramer.}}

Chair Runyon echoed Commissioner Hege's comments saying that he appreciates both parties' willingness to work together. In conclusion, Chair Runyon directed the Planning Department to mail a Notice of Decision advising

Department Head – Planning Department

the applicants, affected agencies, adjacent property owners, and those awardee party status of the decision. If no appeal is filed, the decision of the board shall be final.

Mr. Roberts pointed out that a code compliance issue had been removed from today's Board agenda. He explained that there had been an unresolved environmental health issue on a foreclosed property; penalties had accrued in the amount of \$9,000. This issue has been resolved and the penalty paid.

Mr. Roberts reported that the Brush Canyon Wind Proposal has been with EFSEC (Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council) and is moving through their process. He announced that this Monday the ability to comment on the proposal opens beginning with a meeting scheduled for 6:30 p.m. in Madras. He predicted that final appeals could happen as soon as January followed by a final order. He reminded the Board that they have been designated as a special advisory group. The draft order is over 400 pages long; Mr. Roberts will carefully review it this week. He noted that the majority of EFSEC's conditions are based on Wasco County's initial comments. This has been a three-year process. He invited members of the Board to join him for the meeting in Madras.

Chair Runyon asked Mr. Roberts for a brief update on the status of his department. Mr. Roberts said they are hoping for a lull in applications that might allow them to get caught up. He noted that this year has been filled with issues that predate him and most of his staff which have required more time to research and become familiar with the issues. They are looking forward to moving on with a planned project in 2014.

Mr. Roberts said that he was glad to be present for the earlier testimony regarding the recent music festival; as he has begun his research he has come to understand that a noise ordinance is a complex and challenging issue that will have to be dealt with carefully.

Chair Runyon encouraged Mr. Roberts to continue to meet with Board members individually as he works through the process. He asked Mr. Roberts how staffing

was working out. Mr. Roberts replied that it is going well; although the Codes Compliance Officer does not have the extra time to help with some of the planning, they have been able to have her work on some special projects. Chair Runyon asked the status of the Planning Department's relationship with MCCOG's Buildings Code Department. Mr. Roberts reported that communications issues with Buildings Codes predate his staff; there are no issues now and the two departments work well together.

Commissioner Hege asked how the hearing for the 7-Mile zoning went. Mr. Roberts reported that not as many turned out for the hearing as did for the Oct. 1st Open House. He said the Planning Commission discussions are on course and they have identified all the issues. They will be making a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners. They have modified the original proposal and have expressed some apprehensions; the major concerns are regarding water.

Commissioner Hege asked what the County's involvement is with the composting plant. Mr. Roberts replied that there has been a push to find a location for them; very soon they will not have a home. However, there doesn't seem to be an appropriate location for them in Wasco County. In fact, it has been a challenge to locate them in the Mid-Columbia Gorge; industrial uses cannot be permitted in the Scenic Area. Outside the Scenic Area they will need class 6 or 7 soils and we have not been able to accommodate that need. They also need to be in an industrial area mostly because of the water they use.

Mr. Stone added that Hood River County is also interested in having the "dirt huggers" find a place but have not been able to identify a suitable location. Mr. Roberts added that even if a location were identified, it would take six months to a year.

Commissioner Hege noted that in Mr. Roberts' most recent report, he had predicted \$95,000 in collected fees for this year. Mr. Roberts stated that last year they had taken in approximately \$65,000 in fees and had targeted \$75,000 this year. Commissioner Hege stated that the cost of the Planning Department far exceeds their income from fees. Mr. Roberts said that they have four funding streams: fees, DLC, lottery funds and general funds. Commissioner Hege said that he wants the public to know that it is a heavily subsidized department and the fees they pay do not cover the costs to the County. Chair Runyon announced that last night had been a retirement party for Don Huffman who had served for 20 years on the Planning Commission, most of them as Chair. He commended Mr. Huffman for his dedication in serving on a Commission that requires so much detail and faces so many challenges.

Discussion List – Treasurer's Report

Chair Runyon asked if there were any questions regarding the Treasurer's Report. There were none although Commissioner Hege noted that he appreciates seeing the comparative numbers of the previous month and previous year.

Discussion List – Centennial Celebration

Chair Runyon noted that the Centennial Celebration of the Wasco County Fair at Hunt Park is just 2 months before the Centennial Celebration of the Court House and he would like to see the two events connected in some way. Mr. Stone asked that the Board provide some direction on what they think would be appropriate for the Court House celebration. The Board agreed to give it their attention.

Discussion List – January 2014 BOCC Meeting Schedule

Chair Runyon pointed out that the first regularly scheduled BOCC meeting falls on New Year's Day; the second meeting falls on January 15th. He asked the Board what their preference would be for rescheduling the first meeting.

***After a brief discussion, the Board was in consensus to ask Ms. White to bring a tentative agenda to them for the January meetings to the December 18th session. Following a review of that agenda, the Board will make a decision regarding the January, 2014 session schedule. ***

Discussion List – Veterans Clinic Name Change Letter of Support

Chair Runyon noted some minor changes to the letter regarding correcting the current name of the clinic and the numbers of Oregon Medal of Honor winners. Ms. White handed out hard copies of the corrected letter (attached) and noted that the letter would not go out until the Veterans Ad Hoc Committee was able to secure the correct address to send the letter. She asked for approval of the letter which she would send out as soon as the address became available.

***The Board was in consensus to provide the letter of support for the Veteran's Clinic name change as soon as an address is obtained. ***

Discussion List - NOROR Budget Committee Appointment

Ms. White explained that Chief Waterbury had declined the appointment to the NORCOR Budget Committee at this time unless we are able to provide him with specific dates for the meetings. She asked for direction from the Board. Commissioner Hege stated that he thinks it is important to have someone from the City of The Dalles. Commissioner Kramer suggested that Kathy Goodman might be a good candidate for the appointment. The Board agreed to work on it individually and come back to the next meeting with direction.

Consent Agenda – Minutes and Appointments

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Discussion List – Household Hazardous Waste Committee

Commissioner Kramer stated that the Tri-County Household Hazardous Wasted Steering Committee had approached the County to continue to act as lead agency. Lead Agency applications were submitted and the Committee selected Wasco County. Commissioner Kramer proposed that a letter of acceptance of that position be provided to the Committee.

Chair Runyon asked Mr. Stone for his input. Mr. Stone said this is a process that has been worked through for some time. He said that while he does not have a personal preference, he pointed out that Wasco County imposes the fees for the program and therefore it is logical for Wasco County to remain the lead. He noted that the fees are dedicated funds.

Chair Runyon asked if other partners had been consulted. Mr. Stone replied that he and Commissioner Kramer had met with Hood River yesterday and they are also in support of Wasco County remaining the lead.

Commissioner Kramer stated that the Committee meets on December 11th to update the bylaws and complete a draft of the IGA. Should the Board accept the position as lead agency, Commissioner Kramer, being the Board's representative on the Committee, will act as Chair of the Committee. He said that the draft IGA will be presented to the Board for approval and the Committee will move forward with the program. Commissioner Hege commented that he likes the idea of Commissioner Kramer acting as Chair of the Committee but noted that the Committee's meeting date conflicts with the Board's meeting schedule. Commissioner Kramer replied that the Committee will be addressing that.

Commissioner Hege asked if staffing changes will occur as a result of the Wasco County continuing as Lead Agency. Commissioner Kramer replied that Mr. Skakel will be moved to the County, possibly housed at Planning and overseen by Mr. Roberts. Commissioner Hege asked if Mr. Roberts would be able to take on that additional duty. Mr. Stone replied that the only oversight really necessary would be budget; Commissioner Kramer would be providing oversight for the program.

{{Commissioner Kramer moved for the Board to provide a letter of acceptance to act as Lead Agency for the Tri-County Household Hazardous Waste Steering Committee. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Chair Runyon called a recess at 10:50 a.m.

The session reconvened at 10:57 a.m.

Agenda Item – Home at Last Proposal

Home at Last Executive Director Kris Boler reviewed some of the HAL accomplishments over the last year, providing the Board with a list (attached). She briefly outlined their funding saying that they have been able to place some money in reserve for capital improvements. The City of The Dalles pays \$1,125 per month which entitles them to a certain number of spaces in the shelter before incurring additional fees.

Ms. Boler stated that she is here today to officially request that Wasco County deed the Home at Last property to the Home at Last organization with a reversionary clause. She pointed out that the financial support from the County has dwindled to zero. She explained that owning the property will make it easier for them to obtain grants.

Chair Runyon noted that some of the land is owned by the Port of The Dalles and asked how that would work were the County to give HAL the County-owned property. Ms. Boler replied that they have had some positive discussions with the Port. Ms. Boler introduced Diana Bailey, interim President of the HAL Board, Kathy Norton, HAL Secretary and John Hutchison, HAL Board member. Sheldon Vincent, and HAL volunteer, extended an invitation to the Board to come out to the shelter to observe the work they do.

Chair Runyon asked if Ms. Boler had any letters from grantors referencing their reluctance to grant funds to HAL due to their status as renters. Ms. Boler responded that she has spoken with grantors who have indicated as much. Commissioner Kramer asked if the grantors make any reference to a long-term lease. Ms. Boler replied that she has asked that and grantors have indicated their willingness to support that but it would not have the same impact as ownership.

Chair Runyon pointed out that this is an initial presentation; he would like to research with County staff to explore the implications to the County.

Ms. Bailey said that no matter how long the lease is, if it has a 90 notice to vacate clause then it is basically a 90 day lease. She added that 90 days would not be enough time for them to relocate all the animals in their care. Commissioner Kramer said that would be an issue to negotiate as they move through this process.

Mr. Stone observed that even though the County no longer provides a cash contribution; he wants the public to know that HAL has free use of the facility and the County gave the licensing program to HAL to help them generate revenue – the support and partnership is still there. Ms. Boler agreed saying that it has been a wonderful partnership.

Commissioner Hege asked Ms. Boler to further explain HAL's relationship with the City of The Dalles. Ms. Boler said that HAL has a contract with the City and their animal control officer; HAL allots a certain amount of kennel space for the City. If there is a bite-hold, the City pays a daily fee. Commissioner Hege asked about the County arrangement. Ms. Boler replied that HAL takes in strays for all of Wasco County; it is free to the County in exchange for the building. Commissioner Hege asked if that would continue in perpetuity even if the County deeded the property to HAL. Ms. Boler replied that it would.

Commissioner Hege asked Ms. Boler if she understands that any arrangement would not include the revenue from the cell tower located on the property. Ms. Boler replied that she understands. Commissioner Hege noted that it might be better to just partition that section of the property and retain County ownership.

Commissioner Hege stated that the list of HAL accomplishments is very impressive; the organization seems to have stabilized and moved to a self-sustaining model. He said he is proud of the work that has been and is being done there.

Mr. Hutchison said that he senses the Board would be more comfortable with written evidence of the need for ownership when applying for grants. Chair Runyon replied that this is public land and he wants the public to have as much verifiable information as possible when these decisions are being made.

Agenda Item – Community Corrections Agreements

Community Corrections Director Robert Martin explained that IGA #4880 is a grant from the State of Oregon that is used to treat the needs of those arrested under measure 57. The IGA is required in order to obtain the funding. Community Corrections uses the money to contract with Cross Roads Counseling to provide services; that contract is the second Community Corrections agreement being considered by the Board today.

Commissioner Hege noted that the contract for Level II counseling follows the calendar year. Mr. Martin replied that each year the remaining grant funds are carried into the new fiscal year to pay for the final half of the calendar year agreement. He explained that everything is running behind this year; the current contract has expired but they are still operating under its precepts until a new contract is signed.

Chair Runyon asked if the IGA with the State is the same from year to year. Mr. Martin replied that it fluctuates based on capita. The allotment per capita is the same, but Wasco County's cases have increased so the total dollar amount is greater.

Commissioner Hege asked if Community Corrections has explored using Center for Living for this work. Mr. Martin explained that they would not be able to get the services for the amount of money they have to work with. He stated that Center for Living's rates are astronomically higher than what he pays for the

same services. He said there are other concerns which he has brought to the attention of Center for Living's Executive Director Barb Seatter; she has agreed to address some of the issues but he has not heard back from her. He stated that they are getting a good value for the dollar and have an excellent relationship with Anne Webber at Cross Roads Counseling – they are very responsive.

Commissioner Hege noted that the payment is for up to 7 client slots and asked if Community Corrections tracks that. Mr. Martin responded that he does track it carefully; there is a short waiting list and last year their weekly average for clients in the program was 6.5.

{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve Intergovernmental Agreement #4880 between the State of Oregon and Wasco County. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve the Level II Substance Abuse Treatment Program Contract Agreement between Wasco County Community Corrections and Anne Webber of Crossroads Counseling for providing substance abuse treatment services to Wasco County Community Corrections high and medium risk Community Corrections clients. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}

Agenda Item – Budget Adjustments

Finance Manager Monica Morris stated that the first budget adjustment is for IGA #4880 which is a contract just reviewed by Mr. Martin. She noted that the increase in funding was more than could be absorbed into their budget without an adjustment.

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Resolution #13-122 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated State of Oregon M57 Grant Funds in the amount of \$8,878 for the 2013-2014 fiscal year. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}

Ms. Morris explained that the second budget adjustment is for the Veterans Outreach grant intended to fund efforts to reach out and provide more veterans with services. To do that, the Veterans Service Office wants to extend the hours of their intake officer; adding four hours each week for outreach activities. She

stated that the grant is for 2 years, \$5,000 each year. The Veteran's Service Officer intends to review progress at the end of the first year and make any necessary course adjustments to meet their goals.

{{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve Resolution #13-123 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated State extended Outreach Grant funds in the amount of \$10,000 for the 2013-2014 Fiscal Year. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}

Ms. Morris stated that the third adjustment was for the CAMI Grant which had been presented earlier in the session. She said the adjustment is for an unanticipated increase in the amount of the grant.

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Resolution #13-124 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated additional Child Abuse and Multidisciplinary Intervention Grant Funds in the amount of \$7,241 for the 2013-2014 Fiscal Year. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Ms. Morris said that the final adjustment is for the Homeland Security Grant. Emergency Manager Kristy Beachamp reported that the funds would be used to purchase communications equipment for rural fire districts as well as Mid-Columbia Fire & Rescue which will complete the County's 2006 Communication Plan. In addition, mobile repeaters will be installed in the north and south ends of the County. The County will make the purchases and farm the equipment out to the various fire districts.

Commissioner Hege asked what accountability the various districts have in regards to the equipment. Ms. Beachamp replied that they are responsible for maintenance and repair and must account for the equipment on an annual basis. Ms. Morris added that at the end of the fiscal year, the equipment will not show as a capital outlay item but will go into inventory.

Mr. Stone asked Ms. Beachamp to confirm district insurance for the equipment.

{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve Resolution #13-125 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated State Homeland

Security Program Grant funds in the amount of \$96,122 in the 2013-2014 fiscal year. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}

Chair Runyon asked Ms. Beachamp to talk about the increased risk of flooding this year. Ms. Beachamp explained that the summer fires had compromised the land and created an estimated 80% increased risk of flooding especially along Mill Creek. She is working with community partners to help increase public awareness and encourage homeowners to take proper precautions.

Ms. Morris stated that she has a purchase order that meets the exemption requirements and is seeking Board approval for the purchase. The order is for the installation of a new engine in one of the County's road graders. It qualifies due to the fact that service could not be determined without dismantling.

Chair Runyon observed that the order was already in his queue. Ms. Morris stated that she had not wanted to hold up the work; she knew that it met the criteria for exemption. Commissioner Hege asked if it is one of the graders purchased from Lane County last year. Public Works Director Marty Matherly replied that it is not.

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve the exemption for the purchase order to install a new engine in a County road grader. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Agenda Item – Vehicle Purchases

Chief Deputy Lane Magill joined the session by phone and explained that he is seeking final approval for the purchase of three vehicles approved for purchase by the vehicle committee. He stated that requests for bid had been sent out to three dealerships, two in Portland and one in The Dalles. He said that although the dealership in The Dalles quoted a slightly higher price, the cost of overtime to have deputies pick up the cars from Portland made the local purchase from Shultens Motors the better price. In addition, having to take the vehicles to Portland for servicing would be an additional cost. He said that the purchase from Shultens is \$8,000 under budget.

Commissioner Hege noted that he had learned in a recent conversation with Chief Deputy Magill that the new vehicles would be more efficient to run. Chief

Deputy Magill agreed saying that they get 4-5 miles per gallon better mileage than the vehicles they replace. In addition, they are more usable by other departments as they roll down through the vehicle program.

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve the vehicle purchase from Ray Shultens Motors as recommended by the Vehicle Committee. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Agenda Item – Haven Agreements

Youth Services Director Molly Rogers explained that the services provided through these contracts have been ongoing. Previously all the services had been covered by one contract but she has produced two contracts to separate the funding streams. The contracts cover one year of the biennium. The first contract is for in-home services and the second is for in-school education.

{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve the Funding Agreement between Wasco County and Haven for programs resulting in stable and safe homes. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

{{Commissioner Kramer moved to approve the Funding Agreement between Wasco County and Haven to provide education resulting in positive social skills and behaviors for County teens. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Ms. Rogers said she would like to connect with Commissioner Hege and Mr. Stone before attending the CCO meeting this evening. She would like to discuss the possibility of housing the CCO representative in Wasco County. She invited the Board members and Mr. Stone to attend the CCO meeting.

Agenda Item – SRS Funding

Ms. White reviewed the memo (included in packet) outlining the necessary steps for SRS funding.

{{Commissioner Hege moved to accept the estimated Secure Rural Schools payment for the 2013 fiscal year. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}

{{Commissioner Hege moved to allocate 15% of the Secure Rural Schools funding to Title II and/or Title III Projects. Commissioner Kramer seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Arthur Smith, Wasco County's representative to the Hood/Willamette RAC, encouraged the Board to allocate all 15% of the Title II/Title III funds to Title II programs through the RAC. He stated that the money allocated to the RAC all gets spent in Wasco County, adding that there are more than enough projects to use all the funding citing noxious weed control as a high priority project.

Ms. Morris stated that the Title III search and rescue fund currently has a balance of approximately \$100,000.

Ms. Rogers said that in the past her department has received some Title II funding through the RAC and she has talked to Chief Deputy Magill who might be interested in applying with her as a partner for Title II funding.

Ms. Morris added that last year the County had three Title III search and rescues which cost in total approximately \$15,000 which she said is about average barring a massive search and rescue effort. She said \$100,000 is a healthy amount.

Public Works Director Marty Matherly encouraged as high an allocation to Title II as possible saying that the funding would be put to good use.

Commissioner Kramer said they have to assume this will be the last SRS funding.

Commissioner Hege agreed saying that while we cannot know if this will be the last, we have to assume that it is. He pointed out that the Title III funds act as a bank account to offset actual expenditures from the General Fund. He said he does not want to depreciate the value of Title II dollars, but some of that money can be regained. He pointed out that the County will continue to see the need for search and rescue efforts each year. He advocated to allocate the maximum allowable 7% into Title III Search and Rescue. He acknowledged that that would reduce the RAC's opportunity to do their work and said he was open to negotiate the amount of the allocations.

Commissioner Kramer stated that he also wants to see some money go into Title III for search and rescue and proposed that 5% be allocated to Title III and 10% to Title II for projects through the Hood/Willamette RAC. Commissioner Hege responded that that seemed like a fair compromise.

{{Commissioner Kramer moved to allocate 10% of the Secure Rural Schools funding for fiscal year 2013 to Title II projects through the Hood/Willamette RAC and 5% to Title III Search and Rescue projects, for a total of 15% of the funding. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Chair Runyon reminded the Board that the retirement celebration for Ruby Mason, Director of the Mid-Columbia Housing Authority, is being held tonight at 5 p.m. He also urged the Board to be thinking about the centennial celebrations taking place in 2014.

Chair Runyon adjourned the session at 12:30 p.m.

Summary of Actions

Motions Passed

 To recommend approval of Planning Case PLASAR-11-10-0014 with the findings recommended by the planning staff in the staff report prepared for the December 4, 2013 public hearing. The following modification to the recommended condition of approval C.6 (Landscaping – Retain Existing Trees & Replacement) shall be made:

First Sentence: Maintenance of all trees identified on the revised site plan shall be required . . .

Second Sentence: Any trees identified on the revised site plan that are lost or removed for any reason shall be replaced "identified on the revised site plan" that are lost or removed

- To approve the Consent Agenda:
 - o 11.22.2013 Regular Session Minutes
 - Mosier Watershed Council appointments
 - Kristen McNall
 - Bryce Molesworth
 - Board Appointments
 - Commissioner Hege to Hospital Facility Authority Board of Directors, MCCOG Board of Directors and Wildland Urban Interface Classification Committee.
 - Commissioner Runyon reappointed to the MCCOG Board of Directors and the MCEDD Board of Directors
 - Commissioner Kramer to the North Central Public Health District Board of Health.
- To provide a letter of acceptance to act as Lead Agency for the Tri-County Household Hazardous Waste Steering Committee.
- To approve Intergovernmental Agreement #4880 between the State of Oregon and Wasco County.
- To approve the Level II Substance Abuse Treatment Program Contract Agreement between Wasco County Community Corrections and Anne Webber of Crossroads Counseling for providing substance abuse treatment services to Wasco County Community Corrections high and medium risk Community Corrections clients.
- To approve Resolution #13-122 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated State of Oregon M57 Grant Funds in the amount of \$8,878 for the 2013-2014 fiscal year.
- To approve Resolution #13-123 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated State extended Outreach Grant funds in the amount of \$10,000 for the 2013-2014 Fiscal Year.

- To approve Resolution #13-124 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated additional Child Abuse and Multidisciplinary Intervention Grant Funds in the amount of \$7,241 for the 2013-2014 Fiscal Year.
- To approve Resolution #13-125 in the matter of accepting and appropriating unanticipated State Homeland Security Program Grant funds in the amount of \$96,122 in the 2013-2014 fiscal year.
- To approve the exemption for the purchase order to install a new engine in a County road grader.
- To approve the vehicle purchase from Ray Shultens Motors as recommended by the Vehicle Committee.
- To approve the Funding Agreement between Wasco County and Haven for programs resulting in stable and safe homes.
- To approve the Funding Agreement between Wasco County and Haven to provide education resulting in positive social skills and behaviors for County teens.
- To accept the estimated Secure Rural Schools payment for the 2013 fiscal year.
- To allocate 15% of the Secure Rural Schools funding to Title II and/or Title III Projects.
- To allocate 10% of the Secure Rural Schools funding for fiscal year 2013 to Title II projects through the Hood/Willamette RAC and 5% to Title III Search and Rescue projects, for a total of 15% of the funding.

Consensus

• To ask Ms. White to bring a tentative agenda to them for the January meetings to the December 18th session. Following a review of that agenda, the Board will make a decision regarding the January, 2014 session schedule.

• To provide the letter of support for the Veteran's Clinic name change as soon as an address is obtained.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Commission Chair

Scott Hege, County Commissioner

Steve Kramer, County Commissioner

Agenda Item Road Advisory Committee

<u>Road Advisory Recommendation</u>
The Road Advisory Committee recommends to the Wasco County Board of Commissioners that a measure be put before voters in May 2014 that would, if adopted, result in the formation of a county-wide road district, and provide authorization for a property tax levy sufficient to raise \$1.6 million for Wasco County roads, and approximately \$750,000 for roads within the City of The Dalles. We understand such a measure would require an approximate rate of \$1.23 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation.

In the event of failure of the road district proposal, we further recommend the Board as soon as possible thereafter put before the voters of the county a Vehicle Registration Fee measure that would, if approved, increase the present fee by \$43.00 and raise approximately \$730,000 for Wasco County Roads and \$435,000 for roads within the City of The Dalles.

In the event previous funding sources are restored, in whole or in part, we recommend that both measures contain language that would make clear that the tax or fee would be reduced to the extent possible by the restoration of other county road funding.

This recommendation is intended to provide revenue sufficient to replace declining timber receipts and subsequent temporary federal replacement funding. Wasco County road funding is now insufficient to sustain the level of maintenance and repair that avoids consequent major expenditures for rebuilding and replacement. We believe that any delay in bringing this message to citizens of the county will result in an unacceptable decline in the quality of our roads, and much higher costs of repair and replacement.

Agenda Item MCEDD Quarterly Report

• <u>Report to BOCC</u>

Wasco County Economic Development Commission Report to the Wasco County Board of Commissioners

December 2013

The Economic Development Commission and its staff through the Mid-Columbia Economic Development District provided the following services in support of Wasco County:

EDC Meetings

- The EDC met in October at the City of Mosier and heard a presentation from Emily Mosier on issues affecting Mosier including the 100 year celebration, UPRR discussions, the bike hub, and the watershed.
- The EDC met in November at the City of Dufur and heard a presentation from Darla Clifton on the primary issues affecting the City, including the fire hall and water issues. A positive piece of news was the City's receipt of funds to purchase a new tender truck.

Economic Impact of Events

• Staff contacted the University of Oregon to discuss a scope of work for the economic honors class in conducting an analysis of the economic impact of events in Wasco County. Amanda Hoey met with Bob Parker and Joe Stone from the University in November. During the first semester, the students will work with staff to refine methodology. During the spring semester, the impact analysis will be conducted. Completion is anticipated in June 2014.

Community Enhancement Projects

- The EDC updated the Needs and Issues process to the "Community Enhancement Projects" process. Through this process, we will be fully integrating the Agora Investment Platform to offer more functionality to the process. Letters were sent to past participants last week and staff will be conducting direct outreach through January 2014.
- Staff is updating the EDC website to reflect the changes.

Other

- Staff received an inquiry for a property we had listed on Expand in Oregon as part of our last industrial lands review. The property owner was contacted and has a competing offer for the property. We have taken the listing off Expand in Oregon.
- We have received a number of recent inquiries for the list of top Wasco County employers, which was last updated September 2012. EDC staff will be working on an update.
- We are pleased to report that we were successful in our application for funding for the "Investing in Manufacturing Communities Partnership" project. The funds will allow us to develop and complete a bi-state strategic implementation plan to support growth of autonomous system manufacturing businesses in the region, including in Wasco County. Staff will continue to participate in the project as part of their role with the EDC as this is a critical sector for the County's economy. Staff participated in the first advisory committee meeting for the Investing in Manufacturing Communities Partnership. The advisory committee- focused on the unmanned systems and composites manufacturers in the region- discussed the

scope of work and explored areas for more intensive focus including supporting quality systems certification, addressing industry diversification, enhancing education partnerships and looking at the region's infrastructure needs to support industry growth.

• Staff participated in a variety of other monthly meetings and conversations, including Regional Solutions Advisory meeting, Google celebration, NW Natural Lunch, Oregon Cherry Growers Tour, The Dalles Chamber government affairs, Oregon Education Investment Board, and housing discussions.

Activities of Interest to the EDC

- In October, staff presented at a Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) roundtable hosted by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and the FDIC. Attendees included CRA officers from US Bank, Columbia State, Washington Federal, JP Morgan Chase and others. The Community Reinvestment Act program requires investments into programs principally benefitting low and moderate income individuals. The quarterly roundtables provide an opportunity for discussion about investment opportunities. Amanda Hoey attended to present requests for funding support for individual programs/projects, discuss equipment needs and opportunities for in-kind donations, and note options for participation on committees. She highlighted Agora as a means to further identify funding opportunities in the MCEDD region and emphasized the role of the CEDS and the programs that feed into regional project prioritization, such as Wasco County Community Enhancement Projects. The CRA officers expressed challenges in finding opportunities for investment, particularly in rural regions, and responded very positively to Agora as a means for providing visibility into community prioritized projects in which they may be able to invest CRA resources. Follow up activities included a western region call with one of the CRA officers to discuss replication broadly across states. *How this impacts the EDC: This meeting highlighted the increased value* we will be offering communities by operating the Community Enhancement Process in conjunction with Agora. It also re-emphasized the need to continue this prioritization process and to highlight the EDC as a vehicle to support funders seeking to identify opportunities for investment.
- The Columbia Gorge Bi-State Renewable Energy Zone meeting in November featured a presentation from the Bonneville Power Administration on transmission capacity, the integration of renewable resources and changes at BPA. It was a highly informative discussion important to the future of the County's economic development potential.
- MCEDD, in coordination with the Columbia Gorge Winegrowers Association and an advisory committee, recently completed a Wine Needs Assessment for the region. *Impact to Wasco EDC: There are a number of useful recommendations in the assessment which the EDC discussed. A copy can be obtained from staff.*

Agenda Item Solid Waste Proposed Rate Increases

- <u>11.21.2013 SWAC Agenda</u>
- Wasco County Landfill Request Letter
- Wasco County Landfill 2014 Proposed Rates
- Mel's Sanitary Service Request Letter
- Mel's Sanitary 2014 Proposed Rates
- The Dalles Disposal Request Letter
- The Dalles Disposal 2014 Proposed Rates

Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) Meeting

Thursday November 21, 2013 10:00am

Annex C Meeting Room – North Central Public Health District (419 East 7th St.) 541-506-2600

AGENDA

10:00 a.m. – Welcome & Introductions (if necessary)

• Discuss rate increase request for Wasco County Landfill & Mel's Sanitation, make recommendations to County Court for 2014 rate increase.

Wasco County Landfill

2550 Steele Rd The Dalles, OR 97058 541/296-4082 FAX 541/296-6449

Revised: November 6, 2013

October 30, 2013

John Zalazik Wasco County Public Health Department 419 East Fifth Street, Room 100 The Dalles, OR 97058

RE: Wasco County Landfill, 2014 Rate Change

Dear John Zalazik:

In accordance with the current license agreement between the Wasco County Landfill (WCL) and Wasco County, we plan to adjust our rates in 2014. A summary of the rate change is as follows:

The Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (West-C 1982-84=100) for 2013 is 1.21%. Eighty-five percent of the CPI is 1.03%. The new rates for the year 2014 will reflect 1.03% increase.

The Wasco County license fee for 2013 was \$105,613.00 this will increase to \$106,701.00 in 2014 due to the 1.03% CPI.

The County's Host Fee will change from \$1.46 to \$1.48 per ton in 2014 due to the 1.03% CPI.

The HHW Fee will change from \$7.62 to \$7.70 per ton in 2014 due to the 1.03% CPI.

A proposed rate schedule for 2014 is attached for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

mcy Ritchell

Nancy Mitchell Wasco County Landfill Site Manager

Printed on Recycled Paper

County Landfill

Wasco

2550 Steele Rd The Dalles, OR 97058 541/296-4082 FAX 541/296-6449

Wasco County Landfill New Rates effective January 1, 2014

Wasco County

\$ 32.70 per ton + \$7.70 (HHW Fee) = \$ 40.40 per ton

Hood River and Sherman County

\$ 36.56 per ton + \$7.70 (HHW Fee) = \$ 44.26 per ton

Out of County

\$36.56 per ton

ACM: In-County

\$ 82.27 per ton

ACM: Out of County

\$ 83.87 per ton

PCS: In-County

\$ 30.05 per ton

PCS: Out of County

\$ 31.74 per ton

Public minimum is \$40.00

Mel's Sanitary Service, Inc.

"Serving Most of Wasco County"



November 06, 2013

Dear Commissioners/Council Members:

Mel's Sanitary Service Inc. last rate adjustment went into effect January 1, 2013. Since that time our collection costs have increased in all phases of our operation. That is why I am requesting a rate increase and are proposing new rates as set forth On the enclosed rate sheet to become effective January 1, 2014. The increase is 84% of the CPI for Wasco County.

The proposed schedule will raise the collection fees by approximately 1% When approved by Wasco County, City of Maupin, City of Dufur & N.W. Land Management (U.S.F.S.).

The increase of 1% calculates to Landfill .10%, Purchase of new Truck, Business operations, Payroll taxes, PUC license and permits etc. .90%.

Respectfully, Mel Barlow Sr



Wasco County New Rates Effective Jan 1st 2014

1 can oaw 1 can tam 1 can oam	22.12 14.01 9.21	On call service 9.60 per can Extra can 6.73 each
2 cans oaw	34.06	
3 cans oaw	45.23	
1.5yd oaw 1.5yd tam 1.5yd oam	113.76 74.08 57.34	1.5yd cleanup 34.10
2yd oaw 2yd tam 2yd oam	141.83 91.98 65.49	2yd cleanup 43.56
3yd oaw 3yd tam 3yd oam	227.48 148.07 114.55	3yd cleanup 68.16
4yd oaw 4yd oam	283.56 131.01	4yd cleanup 87.07
1.5yd taw 2yd taw 3yd taw 4yd taw	204.09 252.32 408.10 565.11	Cleanup container del fee 32.83
30yd Drop Box 20yd Drop Box 10yd Drop Box	416.03 Plus Disposal Fee 329.10 242.18	After 5 Days 18.61 per day rental Drop Box Delivery Fee 53.33 Drop Box P.U. Fee 53.33



THE DALLES DISPOSAL 1317 West 1ST Street, The Dalles, OR. 97058 (541) 298-5149

October 30, 2013

Wasco County 511 Washington St The Dalles, Or. 97058

Attn: To Board of County Commissioners

Dear Council Members:

The Dalles Disposal would like to respectfully request a rate adjustment averaging approximately 1.9% to help offset rising operational costs and tip fees. We request this adjustment to be effective January 1, 2014. Some examples of these increases include, but are not limited to, health care, and truck and equipment repair.

We use the Federal Bureau of Labor Statistics CPI index for Portland/Salem to benchmark our changes in operational costs. This index is computed as of June 30 and December 31. The most recent June to June comparison increased 1.90%, and we believe this is a good indicator of our overall experience. The Wasco County Landfill anticipates increasing both its gate rate and the pass-through Household Hazardous Waste tax by 1%, effective January 1. We have incorporated these increases into the attached proposed rate schedule.

Individual rates change by different percentages based upon the disposal weight component of each rate. A service with no weight (eg, a carry out charge) might increase 1.90%, while a per ton drop box overage charge will only increase 0.4%. All the other rates will increase by some combination of the two percentages, averaging out at about 1.6%.

We would like to be scheduled on the council agenda at your earliest convenience to discuss our proposal. We appreciate the continued opportunity to provide Wasco County with high quality solid waste service.

Sincerely,

Erwin Swetnam District Manager

Enclosure: Proposed Rate Sheets

Proposed rate Increase January 1, 2014

	-				
	Current Rate	Landfill Increase	Business Increase	Total Increase	New Rate
RESIDENTIAL					
CANS/ROLLCARTS					
Weekly					
- (1) 20 gal can	\$11.03	\$0.02	\$0.15	\$0.17	\$11.20
	\$16.77	\$0.02 \$0.03	\$0.13 \$0.23	\$0.26	\$17.03
- (1) 32 gal can - 90 gal rollcart	\$24.28	\$0.03 \$0.09	\$0.23 \$0.28	\$0.36	\$24.64
- 105 gal cart	\$26.01	\$0.09 \$0.10	\$0.28 \$0.28	\$0.39	\$24.04 \$26.40
- each add'l can/cart added at the price of the 1	•	J 0.10	<i>Ş</i> 0.20	<i>40,33</i>	Ş20.40
EOW					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$14.19	\$0.02	\$0.20	\$0.22	\$14.41
Call In					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$11.63	\$0.01	\$0.18	\$0.19	\$11.82
- 90 gal rollcart	\$16.96	\$0.02	\$0.24	\$0.27	\$17.23
YARD DEBRIS					
* 12 month minimum sign-up period					
* \$18 restart fee if service cancelled/restarted within a year					
60 gal yard debris cart					
RESIDENTIAL					
Weekly	\$8.24	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.11	\$8.35
EOW	\$5.62	\$0.03	\$0.04	\$0.08	\$5.70
SPECIAL CHARGES					
* The following additional charges are assessed to customers					
whose cans, rollcarts or containers pose a potential safety risk					
to our employees due to the difficult and unsafe location of their service containers.					
their service containers.					
Additional Charge:					
- Sunken Can	\$20.41	\$0.00	\$0.34	\$0.34	\$20.75
- Excess distance	\$20.41	\$0.00	\$0.34	\$0.34	\$20.75
- Steps/stairs	\$20.41	\$0.00	\$0.34	\$0.34	\$20.75
- Through gate	\$20.41	\$0.00	\$0.34	\$0.34	\$20.75
-extra can/bag/box	\$6.29	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.39
- loose yardage per yd	\$26.44	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.85
(*extra garbage on top or around cans and rollcarts					
which must be manually handled & placed in truck)					
- bulk items					
- return trip can	\$6.27	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.37
- return trip rolicart	\$9.25	\$0.00	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$9.40
- rollcart redelivery	\$9.57	\$0.00	\$0.16	\$0.16	\$9.73
- Off day PU	\$6.87	\$0.00	\$0.11	\$0.11	\$6.98
- Delinquent fee	\$12.24	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$12.44
(Acct delinquent after 30 days from billing)					٠.
- NSF/unhonored check fee	\$28.89	\$0.00	\$0.47	\$0.47	\$29.36
- New Acct set up fee	\$5.50	\$0.00	\$0.09	\$0.09	\$5.59
- Change in service	\$5.50	\$0.00	\$0.09	\$0.09	\$5.59

Proposed rate Increase January 1, 2014

	Current Rate	Landfill Increase	Business Increase	Total Increase	New Rate
Weekly					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$20.27	\$0.03	\$0.29	\$0.32	\$20.59
- 90 gal rollcart	\$30.94	\$0.09	\$0.39	\$0.47	\$31.41
- each add'l can/cart added at the price of the	1st unit				
EOW					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$17.12	\$0.02	\$0.25	\$0.27	\$17.39
Call In					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$12.82	\$0.01	\$0.20	\$0.21	\$13.03
- 90 gal rollcart	\$18.51	\$0.02	\$0.27	\$0.29	\$18.80
SPECIAL CHARGES					
* The following additional charges are assessed to customers					
whose cans, rollcarts or containers pose a potential safety ris	:k				
to our employees due to the difficult and unsafe location of					
their service containers.					
Additional Charge:					
- Sunken Can	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Excess distance	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Steps/stairs	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Through gate	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
		·	\$0.00		
-extra can/bag/box	\$6.29	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.39
- loose vardage per yd	\$26.44	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.85
(*extra garbage on top or around cans and rollcarts					
which must be manually handled & placed in truck)					
- bulk items					
- return trip can	\$6.27	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.37
- return trip rollcart	\$9.26	\$0.01	\$0.14	\$0.15	\$9.41
 rollcart redelivery 	\$9.57	\$0.00	\$0.16	\$0.16	\$9.73
- Off day PU	\$6.87	\$0.00	\$0.11	\$0.11	\$6.98
- Delinquent fee	\$12.24	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$12.44
(Acct delinquent after 30 days from billing)					
 NSF/unhonored check fee 	\$28.89	\$0.00	\$0.47	\$0.47	\$29.36
- New Acct set up fee	\$5.50	\$0.00	\$0.09	\$0.09	\$5.59
- Change in service	\$5.50	\$0.00	\$0.09	\$0.09	\$5.59

Proposed rate Increase January 1, 2014

	Current Rate	Landfill Increase	Business Increase	Total increase	New Rate
CONTAINERS					
1 1/2 Yd Containers					
- Call In	\$30.33	\$0.05	\$0.42	\$0.48	\$30.81
- EOW	\$42.39	\$0.12	\$0.53	\$0.65	\$43.04
- 1XPW	\$84.79	\$0.23	\$1.06	\$1.30	\$86.09
- Additional day rate = # days x 1x week rate					
2 Yd Containers					
- Call In	\$40.81	\$0.07	\$0.57	\$0.64	\$41.45
- EOW	\$56.66	\$0.16	\$0.71	\$0.87	\$57.53
- 1XPW	\$113.30	\$0.31	\$1,42	\$1.73	\$115.03
- Additional day rate =					
# days x 1 x wk rate					
3 Yd Containers					
- Call in	\$60.66	\$0.11	\$0.84	\$0.95	\$61.61
- EOW	\$84.78	\$0.23	\$1.06	\$1.30	\$86.08
- 1XPW	\$169.59	\$0.47	\$2.12	\$2.59	\$172.18
- Additional day rate = # days x 1x wk rate					
SPECIAL CHARGES					
- Delivery	\$30.97	\$0.00	\$0.51	\$0.51	\$31.48
- Rent	\$30.22	\$0.00	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$30.72
- Rent-a-bin	\$68.00	\$0.00	\$1.12	\$1.12	\$69.12
- Loose yardage	\$26.44	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.85
Containers with difficult access (per cont chg)					
- Not on solid surface	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Stuck in the mud	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Lodged in loose gravel	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Overweight	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Excess distance	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Rolloff curb	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82

Proposed rate Increase January 1, 2014

	Current Rate	Landfill Increase	Business Increase	Total Increase	New Rate	
COMPACTORS						
* 50,000 max gross weight						
- Per compacted yard	\$29.26	\$0.18	\$0.22	\$0.41	\$29.67	
- over 2 tons for 10 yds	Will be charge add'l	per ton at the c	urrent landfill o	lisposal fee.		
- over 4 tons for 20 yds	Customers must stay	, within DOT leg	al weight limits	s for drop box/co	mpactor service.	
- over 6 tons for 30 yds						
- over 50,000 GW x Fee	\$335.45	\$0.00	\$5.51	\$5.51	\$340.96	
(*Per each 2,000 lb excess)						
- Extra miles over 15	\$2.80	\$0.00	\$0.05	\$0.05	\$2.85	
DROP BOXES						
- 10 yd min fee empty	\$191.76	\$0.66	\$2.21	\$2.88	\$194.64	
- 15 yd min fee empty	\$287.70	\$1.00	\$3.32	\$4,32	\$292.02	
- 20 yd min fee empty	\$383.51	\$1.33	\$4.43	\$5.75	\$389.26	
- 30 yd min fee empty	\$575.29	\$1.99	\$6.64	\$8.63	\$583.92	
- Delivery	\$64.53	\$0.00	\$1.06	\$1.05	\$65.59	
- Pickup	\$64.53	\$0.00	\$1.06	\$1.06	\$65.59	
- Swap	\$64.53	\$0.00	\$1.06	\$1.06	\$65.59	
- Ex miles over 15	\$2.80	\$0.00	\$0.05	\$0.05	\$2.85	
- Demurrage per day	\$13.73	\$0.00	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$13.96	
after 5 days						
- Loose yardage	\$26.44	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.85	

- over 2 tons for 10 yds

- over 4 tons for 20 yds

- over 6 tons for 30 yds

Will be charge add'l per ton at the current landfill disposal fee.

Customers must stay within DOT legal weight limits for drop box/compactor service.

•

Proposed Increase January 1, 2014

	Current Rate	Landfiil Business Increase Increase		Total Increase	New Rate
RESIDENTIAL					
CANS/ROLLCARTS					
Weekly					
- (1) 20 gal can (NewRate)	\$11.03	\$0.02	\$0.15	\$0.17	\$11.20
- (1) 32 gal can	\$18.76	\$0.03	\$0.26	\$0.29	\$19.05
- 90 gal rollcart	\$28.51	\$0.09	\$0.35	\$0.43	\$28.94
- 105 gal cart (Phase Out)	\$30.22	\$0.10	\$0.35	\$0.45	\$30.67
- each add'l can/cart added at the price of the 1st unit					
EOW					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$14.79	\$0.02	\$0.21	\$0.23	\$15.02
- 90 gal rollcart	\$25.64	\$0.05	\$0.35	\$0.40	\$26.04
Call In					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$12.65	\$0.01	\$0.19	\$0.20	\$12.85
- 90 gal rollcart	\$17.02	\$0.02	\$0.24	\$0.27	\$17.29
to our employees due to the difficult and unsafe location their service containers.	of				
Additional Charge: - Sunken Can	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Excess distance	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Steps/stairs	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Through gate	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
-extra can/bag/box	\$6.41	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.51
- loose yardage per yd	\$26.44	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.85
(*extra garbage on top or around cans and rolicarts which must be manually handled & placed in truck)					
- bulk items					
- return trip can	\$6.27	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.37
 return trip rollcart 	\$9.25	\$0.00	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$9.40
 rollcart redelivery 	\$9.57	\$0.00	\$0.16	\$0.16	\$9.73
- Off day PU	\$6.87	\$0.00	\$0.11	\$0.11	\$6.98
- Delinquent fee	\$12.24	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$12.44
(Acct delinquent after 30 days from billing)	400.00	40.55	4 0	40.47	400.00
- NSF/unhonored check fee	\$28.89	\$0.00	\$0.47	\$0.47	\$29.36
- New Acct set up fee	\$6.30	\$0.00	\$0.10 ¢0.10	\$0.10	\$6.40 ¢6.40
- Change in service	\$6.30	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.40

Proposed Increase January 1, 2014

	Current Rate	Landfill Increase	Business Increase	Total Increase	New Rate
COMMERCIAL					
Weekly					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$22.15	\$0.03	\$0.32	\$0.35	\$22.50
- 90 gal rolicart	\$32.89	\$0.09	\$0.42	\$0.50	\$33.39
- each add'l can/cart added at the price of the 1st unit					
EOW					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$17.78	\$0.02	\$0.26	\$0.28	\$18.06
Call In					
- (1) 32 gal can	\$13.91	\$0.01	\$0.21	\$0.22	\$14.13
- 90 gal rollcart	\$18.74	\$0.02	\$0.27	\$0.30	\$19.04
SPECIAL CHARGES					
* The following additional charges are assessed to customers					
whose cans, rollcarts or containers pose a potential safety ris	k				
to our employees due to the difficult and unsafe location of					
their service containers.					
Additional Charge:					
- Sunken Can	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Excess distance	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Steps/stairs	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
- Through gate	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82
			\$0.00		
-extra can/bag/box	\$6.41	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.51
 loose yardage per yd 	\$26.44	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.85
(*extra garbage on top or around cans and rollcarts					
which must be manually handled & placed in truck}					
- bulk items					
- return trip can	\$6.27	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.37
 return trip rollcart 	\$9.26	\$0.01	\$0.14	\$0.15	\$9.41
 rollcart redelivery 	\$9.57	\$0.00	\$0.16	\$0.16	\$9.73
- Off day PU	\$6.87	\$0.00	\$0.11	\$0.11	\$6.98
- Delinquent fee	\$12.24	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$12.44
(Acct delinquent after 30 days from billing)		-			
 NSF/unhonored check fee 	\$28.89	\$0.00	\$0.47	\$0.47	\$29.36
- New Acct set up fee	\$6.30	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.40
- Change in service	\$6.30	\$0.00	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$6.40

Proposed Increase January 1, 2014

	Current Rate	Landfill Increase	Business Increase	Total Increase	New Rate	
CONTAINERS						
1 1/2 Yd Containers						
- Call In	\$32.02	\$0.05	\$0.45	\$0.50	\$32.52	
- EOW	\$45.89	\$0.12	\$0.59	\$0.71	\$46.60	
- 1XPW	\$91.87	\$0.23	\$1.18	\$1.41	\$93.28	
- Additional day rate =						
# days x 1 x wk rate						
2 Yd Containers						
- Call in	\$42.17	\$0.07	\$0.59	\$0.66	\$42.83	
- EOW	\$60.98	\$0.16	\$0.78	\$0.94	\$61.92	
- 1XPW	\$121.82	\$0.31	\$1.56	\$1.87	\$123.69	
- Additional day rate =						
# days x 1 x wk rate						
3 Yd Containers						
- Call In	\$60.66	\$0.11	\$0.84	\$0.95	\$61.61	
- 1XPW	\$183.74	\$0.47	\$2.36	\$2.82	\$186.56	
- Additional day rate =						
# days x 1 x wk rate						
SPECIAL CHARGES						
- Delivery	\$30.67	\$0.00	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$31.17	
- Rent	\$29.92	\$0.00	\$0.49	\$0.49	\$30.41	
- Rent-a-bin	\$68.00	\$0.00	\$1.12	\$1.12	\$69.12	
- Loose yardage	\$26.44	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.85	
Containers with difficult access (per cont chg)						
- Not on solid surface	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82	
- Stuck in the mud	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82	
- Lodged in loose gravel	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82	
- Overweight	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82	
- Excess distance	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82	
- Rolloff curb	\$22.45	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37	\$22.82	

Proposed Increase January 1, 2014

	Current Rate	Landfill Increase	Business Increase	Total Increase	New Rate
COMPACTORS					
* 50,000 max gross weight					
- Per compacted yard	\$29.07	\$0.18	\$0.22	\$0.40	\$29.47
- over 2 tons for 10 yds	Will be charge add'I per t	on at the current lan	dfill disposal fee.		
- over 4 tons for 20 yds	Customers must stay with	hin DOT legal weight	limits for drop box	compactor service.	
- over 6 tons for 30 yds					
- over 50,000 GW x Fee	\$292.91	\$0.00	\$4.81	\$4.81	\$297.72
(*Per each 2,000 lb excess)					
- Extra miles over 15	\$2.90	\$0.00	\$0.05	\$0.05	\$2.95
DROP BOXES					
- 10 yd min fee empty	\$208.50	\$0.66	\$2.49	\$3.15	\$211.65
- 15 yd min fee empty	\$312.78	\$1.00	\$3.73	\$4.73	\$317.51
- 20 yd min fee empty	\$417.03	\$1.33	\$4.98	\$6.30	\$423.33
- 30 yd min fee empty	\$625.51	\$1.99	\$7.46	\$9.45	\$634.96
- Delivery	\$70.67	\$0.00	\$1.16	\$1.16	\$71.83
- Pickup	\$70.67	\$0.00	\$1.16	\$1.16	\$71.83
- Swap	\$70.67	\$0.00	\$1.16	\$1.16	\$71.83
- Ex miles over 15	\$2.90	\$0.00	\$0.05	\$0.05	\$2.95
- Demurrage per day	\$13,72	\$0.00	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$13.95
after 5 days					
- Loose yardage	\$26.43	\$0.07	\$0.34	\$0.41	\$26.84

- over 2 tons for 10 yds

- over 4 tons for 20 yds

- over 6 tons for 30 yds

Will be charge add'I per ton at the current landfill disposal fee.

Customers must stay within DOT legal weight limits for drop box compactor service.

Page 4 of 4

.

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
I. INTRODUCTION	None	None
II. DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY	None	None
II.A. LOCATION AND SITE BOUNDARY	None	None
II.B. THE ENERGY FACILITY	None	None
III. PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF THE ASC REVIEW	None	None
III.A. NOTICE OF INTENT	None	None
III.B. APPLICATION FOR SITE CERTIFICATE	None	None
IV. EVALUATION OF COUNCIL STANDARDS	None	None
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	None	None
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.1	Condition A.1 [OAR 345-027-0020 (4)]: The certificate holder shall begin construction of the facility within three years after the effective date of the site certificate. Under OAR 345-015-0085(9), the site certificate is effective upon execution by the Council chair and the applicant.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.2	 Condition A.2 [OAR 345-027-0020 (4)]: The certificate holder shall complete construction of the facility within six years after the effective date of the site certificate. The certificate holder shall promptly notify the Oregon Department of Energy of the date of completion of construction. Construction is complete when: a. The facility is substantially complete as defined by the certificate holder's construction contract documents; b. Acceptance testing has been satisfactorily completed; and c. The energy facility is ready to begin continuous operation consistent with the site certificate.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.3	Condition A.3 [OAR 345-026-0048]: Following receipt of a site certificate or an amended site certificate, the certificate holder shall implement a plan that verifies compliance with all site certificate terms and conditions and applicable statutes and rules. As a part of the compliance plan, to verify compliance with the requirement to begin construction by the date specified in the site certificate, the certificate holder shall report promptly to the Department of Energy when construction begins. Construction is defined in OAR 345-001-0010. In reporting the beginning of construction, the certificate holder shall describe all work on the site performed before beginning construction, including work performed before the Council issued the site certificate, and shall state the cost of that work. For the purpose of this exhibit, "work on the site" means any work within a site or corridor, other than surveying, exploration or other activities to define or characterize the site or corridor. The certificate holder shall document the council.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.4	Condition A.4 [OAR 345-027-0020(2)]: The certificate holder shall submit a legal description of the site to the Department of Energy within 90 days after beginning operation of the facility. The legal description required by this rule means a description of metes and bounds or a description of the site by reference to a map and geographic data that clearly and specifically identify the outer boundaries that contain all parts of the facility.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.5	Condition A.5 [OAR 345-027-0020(3)]: The certificate holder shall design, construct, operate, and retire the facility: a. Substantially as described in the site certificate;

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		 b. In compliance with the requirements of ORS Chapter 469, applicable Council rules, and applicable state and local laws, rules and ordinances in effect at the time the site certificate is issued; and c. In compliance with all applicable permit requirements of other state agencies.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.6	 Condition A.6 [OAR 345-027-0020(5)]: Except as necessary for the initial survey or as otherwise allowed for wind energy facilities, transmission lines or pipelines under this section, the certificate holder shall not begin construction, as defined in OAR 345-001-0010, or create a clearing on any part of the site until the certificate holder has construction rights on all parts of the site. For the purpose of this rule, "construction rights" means the legal right to engage in construction activities. For wind energy facilities, transmission lines or pipelines, if the certificate holder does not have construction rights on all parts of the site, the certificate holder may nevertheless begin construction, as defined in OAR 345-001-0010, or create a clearing on a part of the site if the certificate holder has construction rights on that part of the site and: a. The certificate holder would construct and operate part of the facility on that part of the site even if a change in the planned route of the transmission line or pipeline occurs during the certificate holder's negotiations to acquire construction rights on another part of the site; or b. The certificate holder would construct and operate part of a wind energy facility on that part of the site even if other parts of the facility were modified by amendment of the site certificate or were not built.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.7	Condition A.7 [OAR 345-027-0020(6)]: If the certificate holder becomes aware of a significant environmental change or impact attributable to the facility, the certificate holder shall, as soon as possible, submit a written report to the Department describing the impact on the facility and any affected site certificate conditions.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.8	 Condition A.8 [OAR 345-026-0080]: The certificate holder shall report according to the following requirements: a. General reporting obligation for energy facilities under construction or operating: Within six months after beginning construction, and every six months thereafter during construction of the energy facility and related or supporting facilities, the certificate holder shall submit a semiannual construction progress report to the Department of Energy. In each construction progress report, the certificate holder shall describe any significant changes to major milestones for construction. The certificate holder shall ecorite to the subjects listed in subsections (b)(i), (iii), (v) and (vi). When the reporting date coincides, the certificate holder may include the construction progress report within the annual report described in this rule. After January 1 but no later than April 30 of each year after beginning operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall submit an annual report to the Department addressing the subjects listed in subsection (b). For the purposes of this rule, the beginning of operation of the facility means the date when construction of a significant portion of the facility is substantially complete and the certificate holder begins commercial operation of the facility as reported by the certificate holder and accepted by the Department. The Council Secretary and the certificate holder may, by mutual agreement, change the reporting date. To the extent that information required by this rule is contained in reports the certificate holder may submit excerpts from such other reports to satisfy this rule. The Council reserves the right to request full copies of such excerpted reports.

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		 information for the calendar year preceding the date of the report: Facility Status: An overview of site conditions, the status of facilities under construction and a summary of the operating experience of facilities that are in operation. The certificate holder shall describe any unusual events, such as earthquakes, extraordinary windstorms, major accidents or the like that occurred during the year and that had a significant adverse impact on the facility. Reliability and Efficiency of Power Production: For electric power plants, the plant availability and capacity factors for the reporting year. The certificate holder shall describe any equipment failures or plant breakdowns that had a significant impact on those factors and shall describe any actions taken to prevent the recurrence of such problems. Status of Surety Information: Documentation demonstrating that bonds or letters of credit as described in the site certificate are in full force and effect and will remain in full force and effect for the term of the next reporting period. Monitoring Report: A list and description of all significant monitoring and mitigation activities and a discussion of any significant changes to any monitoring or mitigation program, including the reason for any such changes. Compliance Report: A report describing the certificate holder's compliance with all site certificate conditions that are applicable during the reporting period. For ease of review, the certificate holder shall, in this section of the report. A summary of changes to the facility that the certificate no any significant negret shall, in this section of the report: A summary of changes to the facility that the certificate holder has made during the reporting period.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.9	Condition A.9 [OAR 345-026-0105]: The certificate holder and the Department of Energy shall exchange copies of all correspondence or summaries of correspondence related to compliance with statutes, rules and local ordinances on which the Council determined compliance, except for material withheld from public disclosure under state or federal law or under Council rules. The certificate holder may submit abstracts of reports in place of full reports; however, the certificate holder shall provide full copies of abstracted reports and any summarized correspondence at the request of the Department.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.10	 Condition A.10 [OAR 345-026-0170]: The certificate holder shall notify the Oregon Department of Energy within 72 hours of any occurrence involving the facility if: a. There is an attempt by anyone to interfere with its safe operation. b. There is a significant natural event such as a fire, earthquake, flood, tsunami or tornado, or human-caused event such as a fire or explosion. c. There is any fatal injury at the facility.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	<mark>A.11</mark>	Condition A.11: The certificate holder must deliver a copy of the annual report required under Condition A.8 to the Wasco County and Sherman County Planning Directors on an annual basis unless specifically requested otherwise by either Planning Director.
IV.A. GENERAL STANDARD OF REVIEW [OAR 345-022-0000]	A.12	Condition A.12: The certificate holder must construct the facility substantially as described in the site certificate. The certificate holder must construct the turbines and transmission line within the corridor locations set forth in the application for site certificate, subject to the conditions of this site certificate. The certificate holder may select turbines of any type, subject to the following restrictions and compliance with all other site certificate conditions. Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must provide to the Department a description of the turbine types selected for the facility, demonstrating

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		 compliance with the following: The total number of turbines at the facility must not exceed 223 turbines. The combined peak generating capacity of the facility must not exceed 535 megawatts and the peak generating capacity of any individual turbine must not exceed 2.4 megawatts. The maximum blade tip height must not exceed 492 feet. The minimum blade tip clearance must be 95 feet above ground. The certificate holder must request an amendment of the site certificate to increase the combined peak generating capacity of the facility beyond 535 megawatts, to increase the number of wind turbines to more than 223 wind turbines or to install wind turbines with a blade tip height greater than 492 feet or a blade tip clearance less than 95 feet above ground.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.1	Condition B.1: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall notify the Department of the identity and qualifications of the major design, engineering and construction contractor(s) for the facility. The certificate holder shall select contractors that have substantial experience in the design, engineering and construction of similar facilities. The certificate holder shall report to the Department any changes of major contractors.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	В.2	Condition B.2: The certificate holder must notify the Department before conducting any work on the site that does not qualify as surveying, exploration, or other activities to define or characterize the site. The notice must include a description of the work and evidence that its value is less than \$250,000 or evidence that the applicant has satisfied all conditions that are required prior to beginning construction.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.3	Condition B.3: The certificate holder shall contractually require all construction contractors and subcontractors involved in the construction of the facility to comply with all applicable laws and regulations and with the terms and conditions of the site certificate. Such contractual provisions shall not operate to relieve the certificate holder of responsibility under the site certificate.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.4	Condition B.4: The certificate holder shall design, construct and operate the facility in accordance with procedures that comply with International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001 and Occupational Health and Safety Advisory Service (OHSAS) 18001 requirements for environmental and occupational health and safety management system requirements and standards.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.5	Condition B.5 [OAR 345-027-0020(15)]: Before any transfer of ownership of the facility or ownership of the site certificate holder, the certificate holder must inform the Department of the proposed new owners. The requirements of OAR 345-027-0100 apply to any transfer of ownership that requires a transfer of the site certificate.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.6	Condition B.6: During construction, the certificate holder must have a full-time onsite manager who is qualified in environmental compliance to ensure compliance with all site certificate conditions. The certificate holder must notify the Department of the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of this person prior to the start of construction and immediately upon any change in the contact information.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.7	Condition B.7: Within 72 hours after discovery of conditions or circumstances that may violate the terms or conditions of the site certificate, the certificate holder must report the conditions or circumstances to the Department.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.8	Condition B.8: Any matter of noncompliance under the site certificate must be the responsibility of the certificate holder. Any notice of violation issued under the site certificate must be issued to the certificate holder. Any civil penalties assessed under the site certificate must be levied on the certificate holder.
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.9	Condition B.9: The certificate holder must obtain all necessary federal, state and local permits or approvals required for construction, operation and retirement of the facility or ensure that its contractors obtain the necessary federal, state and local permits or approvals.

	Condition	Taxt
DPO SECTION	No.	Text
IV.B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE [OAR 345-022-0010]	B.10	Condition B.10: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must provide confirmation in writing to the Department that the construction contractor or other third party has obtained all necessary permits or approvals.
IV.C. STRUCTURAL STANDARD [OAR 345-022-0020]	C.1	Condition C.1 [OAR 345-027-0020(12)]: The certificate holder shall design, engineer and construct the facility to avoid dangers to human safety presented by seismic hazards affecting the site that are expected to result from all maximum probable seismic events. As used in this rule, "seismic hazard" includes ground shaking, landslide, liquefaction, lateral spreading, tsunami inundation, fault displacement and subsidence.
IV.C. STRUCTURAL STANDARD [OAR 345-022-0020]	C.2	Condition C.2: The certificate holder shall design and construct the facility in accordance with requirements of the Oregon Structural Specialty Code (OSSC 2010) and the 2009 International Building Code.
IV.C. STRUCTURAL STANDARD [OAR 345-022-0020]	C.3	Condition C.3: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must conduct a site-specific geotechnical investigation that includes a detailed landslide and slope stability hazards investigation, and report its findings to the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) and the Department. The certificate holder shall conduct the geotechnical investigation in accordance with its consultation and documentation of work scope approval from DOGAMI and in general accordance with DOGAMI open file report 00-04 "Guidelines for Engineering Geologic Reports and Site-Specific Seismic Hazard Reports."
IV.C. STRUCTURAL STANDARD [OAR 345-022-0020]	C.4	Condition C.4: The certificate holder shall design, engineer and construct the facility to avoid dangers to human safety presented by non-seismic hazards. As used in this condition, "non-seismic hazards" include settlement, landslides, flooding, and erosion.
IV.C. STRUCTURAL STANDARD [OAR 345-022-0020]	C.5	Condition C.5 [OAR 345-027-0020(13)]: The certificate holder shall notify the Department, the State Building Codes Division and the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries promptly if site investigations or trenching reveal that conditions in the foundation rocks differ significantly from those described in the application for a site certificate. After the Department receives the notice, the Council may require the certificate holder to consult with the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries and the Building Codes Division and to propose mitigation actions.
IV.C. STRUCTURAL STANDARD [OAR 345-022-0020]	C.6	Condition C.6 [OAR 345-027-0020(14)]: The certificate holder shall notify the Department, the State Building Codes Division and the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries promptly if shear zones, artesian aquifers, deformations or clastic dikes are found at or in the vicinity of the site.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.1	 Condition D.1: The certificate holder shall conduct construction work in compliance with an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) satisfactory to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and as required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Construction Stormwater General Permit #1200-C. The certificate holder shall include in the ESCP any procedures necessary to meet local erosion and sediment control requirements or stormwater management requirements, including, but not limited to: a. Implementing road improvements/gravel application to exposed areas; b. Creating a stabilized construction entrance/exit and placing stabilization matting on exposed slopes; c. Preserving existing vegetation where feasible; d. Installing silt fencing downgradient of ground disturbance; e. Using straw wattles to decrease the velocity of sheet flow stormwater; f. Applying mulch and soils binders; g. Revegetating after completion of soil disturbing activities; h. Conserving topsoil, especially high-value farmland soils, and protecting stockpiled soil until its final placement as surface fill; i. Constructing properly designed check dams, ditches, and sediment traps to handle storm events; and
		 j. Implementing pollutant management/source control measures to reduce the potential of chemical pollution to surface water or groundwater during

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		construction
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.2	 Condition D.2: The certificate holder must implement best management practices to reduce and control dust emissions generated by construction and operation activities, including, but not limited to: a. Applying water to disturbed ground and roads during construction; b. Implementing wheel wash and vehicle scrape for construction vehicles; c. Imposing appropriate construction and operation speed limits on site roads; d. Graveling or paving permanent roadways; e. Covering temporary stockpiles with fabric or other materials; f. Using chemical dust suppressants and flocculating agents; and g. Minimizing the disrupted surface area.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.3	Condition D.3 Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall obtain approval of the Weed Management Plan (Attachment A to the [Draft Proposed] Order on the Application for Site Certificate) from the Sherman County Weed District Supervisor and the Wasco County Weed Department. The approved plan, with supporting correspondence, should be submitted to the Department no less than 30 days prior to the beginning of construction.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	<mark>D.4</mark>	Condition D.4: To control the introduction and spread of noxious weeds, the certificate holder must implement the requirements of the approved Weed Management Plan during all phases of construction and operation of the facility. Amendments to the Weed Management Plan must be reviewed and approved by the agencies listed in Condition D.3, and submitted to the Department no later than 30 days after approval.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.5	 Condition D.5: During facility operation, the certificate holder must: a. Routinely inspect and maintain all transmission line corridors, roads, pads, and trenched areas and, as necessary, maintain or repair erosion and sediment control measures and control the introduction and spread of noxious weeds in accordance with the requirements of the Weed Management Plan. b. Restrict vehicles to constructed access roads, and ensure material laydown or other maintenance activities occur within graveled areas or within the maintenance area of the O&M building to avoid unnecessary compaction to the area surrounding the facility. c. Restore areas temporarily disturbed by maintenance activities requiring large equipment or component transport by decompacting and tilling area and restoring the area in accordance with the requirements of the Revegetation Plan and the Weed Management Plan.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.6	 Condition D.6: The certificate holder must comply with the following soil protection measures in areas temporarily disturbed by construction: a. During construction affecting cultivated land, the certificate holder must avoid or minimize disruption of ongoing farming activities by consulting with landowners and ensuring that existing contour tillage patterns and diversions are maintained. b. Where topsoil or other high-value farmland soils are present the certificate holder must segregate and stockpile the soil. Stockpiled soil must be covered and protected during construction and placed back as surface fill at the end of construction. c. After completion of construction in areas not other otherwise occupied by permanent structures the certificate holder must decompact the soil through scarification or tilling, landscape all areas disturbed by construction in a manner compatible with the surroundings and proposed use, and revegetate the area in accordance with the requirements of the Revegetation Plan (Attachment 2 to the [Draft Proposed] Order). d. After completion of construction the certificate holder must continue to manage restored areas in accordance with the requirements of the Weed Management Plan (Attachment 1 to the [Draft Proposed] Order).
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION	D.7	Condition D.7 [OAR 345-027-0020(11)]: Upon completion of construction, the

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
[OAR 345-022-0022]		certificate holder shall restore vegetation to the extent practicable and shall landscape all areas disturbed by construction in a manner compatible with the surroundings and proposed use. Upon completion of construction, the certificate holder shall remove all temporary structures not required for facility operation and dispose of all timber, brush, refuse and flammable or combustible material resulting from clearing of land and construction of the facility.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.8	Condition D.8: The certificate holder must provide portable toilets for onsite sewage handling during construction. The certificate holder must ensure that the portable toilets are pumped and cleaned regularly by a licensed contractor and that a permitted wastewater treatment plant is used for disposal of sanitary wastes.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.9	Condition D.9: During operation, the certificate holder may discharge no more than 5,000 gallons per day of sanitary wastewater from the O&M building to a onsite septic system properly permitted through the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality or the Wasco-Sherman Public Health Department.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.10	Condition D.10: The certificate holder must handle hazardous materials used on the site in a manner that protects public health, safety, and the environment and shall comply with all applicable local, state, and federal environmental laws and regulations. The certificate holder must instruct employees about proper handling, storage, and cleanup of hazardous materials.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.11	Condition D.11: If a spill or release of any amount of oil to waters of the state; oil spills on land in excess of 42 gallons; or a spill or release of a reportable quantity (see List of Hazardous Substances and Reportable Quantities at 40 CFR 302) of a hazardous substance occurs during construction or operation of the facility, the certificate holder must immediately report the spill or release to the Oregon Emergency Response System (OERS) at 1-800-452-0311. The certificate holder must notify the Department within 72 hours of any spill requiring notification to OERS.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.12	Condition D.12: The certificate holder must make spill control and containment kits readily available near areas containing fuel, fuel oil, lubricating oil, hydraulic oil, or other potentially hazardous substances. The spill kits must be equipped with sorbent materials, temporary containment booms, drums, and disposal bags.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.13	Condition D.13: Onsite vehicle refueling during construction may be conducted using a licensed mobile refueling service or a portable fuel storage tank. If a portable fuel tank is utilized on site during construction it must be double-walled and may not exceed a maximum capacity of 500 gallons. All fueling and fuel storage activities must be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Oregon Office of State Fire Marshall.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.14	Condition D.14: All vehicle refueling activities must occur in dedicated areas equipped with spill containment with at least 15 gallons of capacity to capture and contain leaks, drips and spills. A fire extinguisher and a spill kit must be easily accessible near any portable fuel tank at all times. Each vehicle present during refueling must be equipped with a fire extinguisher, absorbent spill pads, shovels, and buckets.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.15	Condition D.15: Prior to refueling large-scale equipment (such as cranes that cannot be moved to the designated areas for refueling) mobile or temporary secondary containment must be established at the fueling site. The mobile or temporary secondary containment must have a capacity of at least 110% of the capacity of the refueling tank.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.16	Condition D.16: At the completion of construction, all dedicated fueling areas, and any temporary site used for fueling described in Condition D.xx, must be inspected for stained soil or gravel. If stained soil or gravel is present it must be removed, and confirmation samples taken to verify that all of the contaminated material has been removed. In the report required by Condition A.8 the certificate holder must include a map showing the exact location of each fueling

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		area utilized during construction and evidence that each area was properly inspected, and cleaned, sampled, and restored as necessary.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.17	Condition D.17: During operations the certificate holder may not store diesel fuel or gasoline for fueling vehicles on the facility site.
IV.D. SOIL PROTECTION [OAR 345-022-0022]	D.18	Condition D.18: When conducting any blade-washing activities, the certificate holder must ensure that there is no runoff of wash water from the site or discharges to surface waters, storm sewers, or dry wells. The certificate holder may not use acids, bases, or metal brighteners with the wash water. The use of steam or heated water is prohibited. The certificate holder may use biodegradable, phosphate-free cleaners sparingly. The certificate holder may not allow more than seven turbine blades to be cleaned within a one-week period.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.1	Condition E.1: Prior to construction, the certificate holder must confirm that properties located within the facility site boundary within Wasco County are legally created parcels pursuant to WCLUDO Section 1.090. The certificate holder must notify Wasco County of its findings and provide documentation and accompanying maps to confirm the legal status of the parcels before beginning construction. If any of the parcels within the facility site boundary are not legally created, the certificate holder must work with Wasco County and the landowner to take all necessary steps to legalize those parcels within one year of the discovery.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.2	Condition E.2: The certificate holder shall ensure that all components of the facility subject to the requirements of the property development standards set forth in WCLUDO Section $3.210(F)(1)(a)$ are designed and constructed to maintain the minimum 200-foot setback from property lines set forth in WCLUDO Section $3.210(F)(1)(a)(1)(a)(1)(a)$.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.3	 Condition E.3: The certificate holder must ensure that the bottoms of foundations of permanent facility structures are set back from the high water line or mark, along all streams, lakes, rivers, or wetlands in accordance with the following criteria: a. A minimum distance of one hundred (100) feet when measured horizontally at a right angle for all waterbodies designated as fish bearing by any federal, state or local inventory. b. A minimum distance of fifty (50) feet when measured horizontally at a right angle for all waterbodies designated as non fish bearing by any federal, state or local inventory. c. A minimum distance of twenty five (25) feet when measured horizontally at a right angle for all waterbodies (seasonal or permanent) not identified on any federal, state or local inventory.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.4	 Condition E.4: Facility signage installed in Wasco County must meet the following requirements: a. Permanent signs shall not project beyond the property line. b. Signs shall not be illuminated or capable of movement. c. Permanent signs shall describe only uses permitted and conducted on the property on which the sign is located. d. Freestanding signs shall be limited to twelve square feet in area and 8 feet in height measured from natural grade. Signs on buildings are permitted in a ratio of 1 square foot of sign area to each linear foot of building frontage but in no event shall exceed 32 square feet and shall not project above the building. e. Freestanding signs shall be limited to one at the entrance of the property. Up to one additional sign may be placed in each direction of vehicular traffic running parallel to the property if they are more than 750 feet from the entrance of the property. f. Signs on buildings shall be limited to one per building and only allowed on buildings conducting the use being advertised.
IV.E. LAND USE	E.5	Condition E.S: Prior to beginning construction, the certificate holder must ensure that participating landowners sign a Farm-Forest Management Easement and

F

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
[OAR 345-022-0030]		that the Easement is recorded in the deed records for Wasco and Sherman Counties. The document must be binding on the landowner, and the landowner's successors in interest, prohibiting them from pursuing a claim for relief or case of action alleging injury from farming or forest practices for which no action or claim is allowed under ORS 30.936 or 30.937.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	<mark>E.6</mark>	Condition E.6: Prior to beginning construction, the certificate holder must ensure that any quarry used as a source of aggregate material for facility construction is properly permitted in the county in which it is located. The certificate holder shall consult with the county to ensure that there is no disturbance to mineral or aggregate resources designated as Goal 5 resources in that county's comprehensive land use plan.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.7	Condition E.7: The certificate holder shall design, construct and operate the facility in a manner that avoids any material signal interference with communication systems such as, but not limited to, radio, telephone, television, satellite, microwave or emergency communication systems. Should any material interference occur, the certificate holder must develop and implement a mitigation plan in consultation with the Department.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.8	Condition E.8: The certificate holder shall consult with the City of Antelope to ensure that the City and its lessees may continue to use their existing access route to city-owned property, unless another agreement is reached between the certificate holder and the City regarding access to city-owned property.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.9	Condition E.9: Prior to the start of construction, the certificate holder must develop a transportation plan in consultation with ODOT and Sherman and Wasco Counties. The plan must outline any required improvements to roadways before and after construction, construction access routes, new roadways required, the requirements of WCLUDO 19.030(C)(9), and any other details required by the counties or ODOT. A copy of the plan must be provided to the Department before the start of construction.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.10	Condition E.10: Within 90 days after beginning operation, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department the actual latitude and longitude or Oregon State Plane North American Datum 1983 (NAD83) High Accuracy Reference Network (HARN) coordinates of each turbine tower, support structure for the 34.5-kV collector lines and 230-kV transmission lines, and other related and supporting facilities. The certificate holder may provide the information in a GIS layer based on the geospatial data that includes all characteristics of spatial features of the facility site boundary. The certificate holder shall confer with the Department prior to submittal of GIS-based information.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.11	Condition E.11: The certificate holder shall design and construct the facility to avoid placement of turbines or other moving facility components in a manner that causes the disturbance known as "shadow flicker" to impact dwellings in the vicinity of the turbine. The applicant may not construct turbines that would impact a dwelling located on the property of a non-participating owner, provided the dwelling was in existence on the date of issuance of this site certificate.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.12	 Condition E.12: The certificate holder must comply with the setback requirements below. The terms "ground minor utility facility line," "ground major utility facility line," "Energy facility Project Area" and "legal resource dwelling" used in this condition have the meaning those terms are given in the Wasco County Land Use Development Ordinance in effect on May 3, 2012. a. The wind turbine setback to non-project boundaries, and the property line setbacks of the underlying zone in which the project is located are not applicable to any internal property lines within the project area. b. The certificate holder must comply with the following turbine setback distances, as measured from the centerline of the turbine to the edge of the dwelling, as set forth below. Copies of any noise waiver from the owner of an affected legal resource dwelling must meet the requirements of Condition R.2.d. i. Wind turbines shall be set back from the property line of any abutting

DPO SECTION	Condition	Text
	No.	
		 property not part of the project (non-project boundaries), the right-of-way of any dedicated road, and any above ground major utility facility line a minimum of 1.5 times the blade tip height of the wind turbine tower. Wind turbines shall be set back from any above ground minor utility facility line a minimum of 1.1 times the blade tip height of the wind turbine tower. ii. Wind turbines must be set back a minimum of 1,320 feet from legal resource dwellings of landowners who are also landowners in the Energy facility Project Area, or the distance necessary to comply with the DEQ noise standards at OAR 345-035-0035. iii. Wind turbines must be set back a minimum of 3,520 feet from legal resource dwellings of landowners who are not also landowners in the Energy facility Project Area, or the distance necessary to comply with the DEQ noise standards at OAR 345-035-0035. iii. Wind turbines must be set back a minimum of 3,520 feet from legal resource dwellings of landowners who are not also landowners in the Energy facility Project Area, or the distance necessary to comply with the DEQ noise standards at OAR 345-035-0035, whichever is greater. If the certificate holder obtains a noise waiver from the owner of the affected legal resource dwelling, a minimum of 1 mile (5,280 feet) from all non-resource zoned property boundaries located outside of urban growth boundaries or urban reserves (as measured from the centerline of the turbine to the edge of the property boundary zoned for non-resource purposes, e.g. rural residential). v. Wind turbines shall be setback ¾ mile (3,960 feet) from the established city limit, urban growth boundary or urban reserve boundary of an incorporated city, whichever is the more restrictive. vi. If a wind turbine 200' in height or taller has been previously placed on a downwind property shall be set back a minimum of fifteen rotor diameters from the downwind and upwind property owners or those authorized to act on their behalf. c.
IV.E. LAND USE [OAR 345-022-0030]	E.13	 Condition E.13: Prior to the start of construction the certificate holder must submit a site investigation report to the Sherman County Planning Department that includes, at a minimum, the following: a. Plans and profiles showing the position and height of each structure on the O&M building site, paved areas, and areas where cut and fill is required for construction; b. The percent and location of the surface of the O&M building site which will be covered by impermeable surfaces; and c. Any existing or potential hazards noted during the investigation.
IV.F. PROTECTED AREAS [OAR 345-022-0040]	None	None
IV.G. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE [OAR 345-022-0050]	G.1	Condition G.1 [OAR 345-027-0020(7)]: The certificate holder shall prevent the development of any conditions on the site that would preclude restoration of the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition to the extent that prevention of such site conditions is within the control of the certificate holder.
IV.G. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE [OAR 345-022-0050]	G.2	Condition G.2 [OAR 345-027-0020(9)]: The certificate holder must retire the facility in accordance with a retirement plan approved by the Council if the certificate holder permanently ceases construction or operation of the facility. The retirement plan must describe the activities necessary to restore the site to a useful, nonhazardous condition, as described in OAR 345-027-0110(5). After Council approval of the plan, the certificate holder must obtain the necessary authorization from the appropriate regulatory agencies to proceed with restoration of the site.

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
IV.G. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE [OAR 345-022-0050]	G.3	Condition G.3 [OAR 345-027-0020(16)]: The certificate holder is obligated to retire the facility upon permanent cessation of construction or operation. If the Council finds that the certificate holder has permanently ceased construction or operation of the facility without retiring the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110, the Council must notify the certificate holder and request that the certificate holder submit a proposed final retirement plan to the Department within a reasonable time not to exceed 90 days. If the certificate holder does not submit a proposed final retirement plan by the specified date, the Council may direct the Department to prepare a proposed final retirement plan for the Council's approval.
IV.G. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE [OAR 345-022-0050]	G.4	Condition G.4 [OAR 345-027-0020(16)]: Upon the Council's approval of the final retirement plan, the Council may draw on the bond or letter of credit submitted per the requirements of Condition G.5 to restore the site to a useful, nonhazardous condition according to the final retirement plan, in addition to any penalties the Council may impose under OAR Chapter 345, Division 29. If the amount of the bond or letter of credit is insufficient to pay the actual cost of retirement, the certificate holder must pay any additional cost necessary to restore the site to a useful, nonhazardous condition. After completion of site restoration, the Council must issue an order to terminate the site certificate if the Council finds that the facility has been retired according to the approved final retirement plan.
IV.G. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE [OAR 345-022-0050]	G.5	Condition G.5 [OAR 345-027-0020(8)]: Before beginning construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall submit to the State of Oregon, through the Council, a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The certificate holder shall maintain a bond or letter of credit in effect at all times until the facility has been retired. The Council may specify different amounts for the bond or letter of credit during construction and during operation of the facility.
IV.G. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE [OAR 345-022-0050]	G.6	 Condition G.6: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must submit to the State of Oregon through the Council a bond or letter of credit in the amount described herein naming the State of Oregon, acting by and through the Council, as beneficiary or payee. The initial bond or letter of credit amount is either \$16.528 million (second quarter 2012 dollars), to be adjusted to the date of issuance as described in (b), or the amount determined as described in (a). The certificate holder shall adjust the amount of the bond or letter of credit on an annual basis thereafter as described in (b). a. The certificate holder may adjust the amount of the bond or letter of credit based on the final design configuration of the facility and turbine types selected by applying the unit costs and general costs illustrated in Attachment W-1 to Exhibit W of the Application for Site Certificate, adjusted to the date of issuance as described in (b) and subject to review and approval by the Department. b. The certificate holder must adjust the amount of the bond or letter of credit, using the following calculation and subject to approval by the Department: i. Adjust the Subtotal component of the bond or letter of credit amount (expressed in 2nd Quarter 2012 dollars) to present value, using the U.S. Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflator, Chain-Weight, as published in the Oregon Department of Administrative Services' "Oregon Economic and Revenue Forecast" or by any successor agency (the "Index") and using the 2nd Quarter 2012 index value and the quarterly index value for the date of issuance of the new bond or letter of credit. If at any time the Index is no longer published, the Council shall select a comparable calculation to adjust 2nd Quarter 2012 dollars to present value. ii. Add 10 percent of the adjusted Subtotal (i) for the adjusted

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		 administration and project management costs and 10 percent of the adjusted Gross Cost (ii) for the adjusted future developments contingency. iv. Add the adjusted Gross Cost (ii) to the sum of the percentages (iii) and round the resulting total to the nearest \$1,000 to determine the adjusted financial assurance amount. c. The certificate holder shall use a form of bond or letter of credit approved by the Council. d. The certificate holder shall use an issuer of the bond or letter of credit approved by the Council. e. The certificate holder shall describe the status of the bond or letter of credit in the annual report submitted to the Council under Condition A.8. f. The bond or letter of credit shall not be subject to revocation or reduction before retirement of the facility site.
IV.G. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE [OAR 345-022-0050]	G.7	Condition G.7: If the certificate holder elects to use a bond to meet the requirements of Condition G.5, the certificate holder must ensure that the surety is obligated to comply with the requirements of applicable statutes, Council rules and this site certificate when the surety exercises any legal or contractual right it may have to assume construction, operation or retirement of the energy facility. The certificate holder shall also ensure that the surety is obligated to notify the Council that it is exercising such rights and to obtain any Council approvals required by applicable statutes, Council rules and this site certificate before the surety commences any activity to complete construction, operate or retire the energy facility.
IV.H. FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT [OAR 345-022-0060]	H.1	Condition H.1: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department, ODFW, and the Planning Directors of Wasco and Sherman counties, detailed D-size maps of the facility site, showing the final locations of all facility components, and a table showing the acres of temporary habitat impact by habitat category and subtype and the acres of permanent habitat impact by habitat category and subtype. The detailed maps of the facility site shall indicate the habitat categories of all areas that will be affected during construction. In classifying the affected habitat into habitat categories, the certificate holder shall consult with ODFW. The certificate holder shall not begin ground disturbance in an affected area until the habitat assessment has been approved by the Department.
IV.H. FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT [OAR 345-022-0060]	H.2	Condition H.2: Before beginning construction and after considering all micrositing factors, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department a map depicting the final design locations of all components of the facility and the areas that will be disturbed during construction and identifying the survey areas for all plant and wildlife surveys. This information may be combined with the map submitted per the requirements of Condition H.1 The certificate holder shall hire a qualified professional biologist to conduct a preconstruction plant and wildlife investigation of all areas that will be disturbed during construction that lie outside of the previously surveyed areas. The certificate holder shall provide a written report of the investigation to the Department and ODFW.
IV.I. THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES [OAR 345- 022-0070]	1.1	 Condition I.1: To avoid potential impacts to Henderson's ricegrass and dwarf evening-primrose, the certificate holder must: a. Conduct preconstruction plant surveys for Henderson's ricegrass and dwarf evening-primrose. If either species is found to occur, the certificate holder must install protection flagging around the plant population along with a 33-foot buffer area and avoid any ground disturbance within this zone. b. Ensure that any plant protection zone established under (a) above is included on construction plans showing the final design locations and areas that would be within the work zone and subject to soil disturbance. c. If herbicides are used to control weeds, the certificate holder shall follow the manufacturer's guidelines in establishing a buffer area around confirmed populations of Henderson's ricegrass and dwarf evening-primrose, or any additional listed or candidate species. Herbicides must not be used within

	Condition	Tout
DPO SECTION	No.	Text
		the established buffers.
IV.I. THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES [OAR 345- 022-0070]	1.2	 Condition 1.2: To reduce potential adverse impacts to all potentially occurring threatened, endangered, or candidate species within the analysis area, the certificate holder must: a. Employ qualified personnel to conduct a field investigation of the final layout, and provide a written report of the field investigation to the Department detailing any identified state and federally listed, candidate, and proposed wildlife species or Category 1 (irreplaceable) habitat. b. Designate a Field Contact Representative (FCR) to oversee protected species compliance during construction. The FCR is responsible for overseeing compliance with environmental protective measures and coordination in accordance with the county and other regulatory agencies. c. Provide environmental training and monitoring during construction. A qualified biologist will visit the site periodically before site development and during construction to flag designated critical habitat.
IV.J. SCENIC RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0080]	J.1	 Condition J.1: To reduce visual impacts associated with lighting facility structures, other than lighting on structures subject to the requirements of the Federal Aviation Administration or the Oregon Department of Aviation, the certificate holder shall implement the following measures: a. During temporary activities (construction or maintenance) requiring nighttime lighting, the lights shall be restricted to the minimum necessary to illuminate the work area and shielded or downward-directed to reduce glare. b. Outdoor night lighting at the Operations and & Maintenance Building, substation, and other ancillary facility structures must be i. The minimum number and intensity required for safety and security; ii. Directed within the facility to minimize backscatter and offsite light trespass; iii. Shielded and hooded with nonreflective, opaque materials to prevent light from projecting onto adjacent properties, roadways, and waterways; and iv. Have sensors and timers to keep lights turned off when not needed.
IV.J. SCENIC RESOURCES	J.2	Condition J.2: The certificate holder shall paint or otherwise finish the Operation and Maintenance Building and other ancillary facility structures in neutral colors with a low-reflectivity finish to provide visual integration with the surrounding landscape, unless required otherwise by the local code applicable to the structure location. Turbine towers must be of uniform design, color and height. Turbine towers, nacelles, and rotors must be uniformly finished in a neutral white or off-white color with a low reflectivity finish, unless otherwise required by the Federal Aviation Administration.
[OAR 345-022-0080]	<mark>J.3</mark>	Condition J.3: The certificate holder shall not use exterior nighttime lighting on the turbine towers except that required by the Federal Aviation Administration to warn aircraft of obstructions. The number and intensity of lights shall be limited to those necessary to meet the minimum required by the FAA.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]	К.1	Condition K.1: The certificate holder shall notify the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation Oregon of all potential culturally significant funerary objects, objects of cultural patrimony, or sacred objects (as those terms are defined in OAR 736-051-0070) found during pre-construction, construction, and operation of the project.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]	К.2	Condition K.2: The certificate holder shall design and locate all facility components to avoid impacts to all sites recommended as eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department a map showing the final design locations of all components of the facility, the areas that will be temporarily disturbed during construction and the areas that were surveyed, or are planned to be surveyed, for historic, cultural, and archaeological resources.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND	К.З	Condition K.3: The certificate holder shall employ qualified personnel to conduct

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]		field investigation of all areas to be disturbed during construction that lie outside the previously surveyed areas indicated on the maps and drawings prepared in accordance with Condition K.2. The certificate holder shall provide a written report of the field investigation to the Department and to the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) prior to beginning construction. If any potentially significant historic, cultural, or archaeological resource sites are found during the field investigation, the certificate holder shall instruct all construction personnel to avoid the identified sites and shall implement appropriate measures to protect the sites, including the measures described in Condition K.5 and in accordance with the Archaeological Monitoring Plan required under Condition K.8.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]	К.4	Condition K.4: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall mark the buffer areas established under Condition K.5 for all identified historic, cultural, or archaeological resource sites (including those of unknown age) on construction maps and drawings as "no entry" areas. A copy of current maps and drawings must be maintained onsite during construction and made available to the Department upon request.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]	К.5	Condition K.5: Immediately prior to construction activities, the certificate holder must flag or otherwise mark a 200-foot avoidance buffer around precontact and unknown-age sites and a 100-foot avoidance buffer around historic archaeological sites, as identified by the maps and drawings prepared in accordance with Condition K.4. No disturbance is allowed within the buffer zones. For historic archaeological sites, a qualified archaeologist, as defined in OAR 736-051-0070, must be present if construction activities are required adjacent to the 100-foot buffer of sites identified as potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The certificate holder may use existing private roads within the buffer areas but may not widen or improve private roads within the buffer areas. The no-entry restriction does not apply to public road rights-of-way within buffer areas. Flagging or marking should be removed immediately upon cessation of activities in the area that pose a threat of disturbance to the site being protected.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]	К.б	Condition K.6: The certificate holder shall ensure that a qualified archaeologist, as defined in OAR 736-051-0070, instructs facility personnel to recognize sensitive cultural materials and in the procedures for avoidance of accidental damage to identified resource sites or inadvertently discovered sites. Records of such training must be maintained onsite during construction and at the Operations and Maintenance Building during operations, and made available to the Department upon request.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]	К.7	Condition K.7: The certificate holder shall ensure that construction and operations personnel cease all activities in the immediate area if any archaeological or cultural resources are found during ground-disturbing activities until a qualified archeologist can evaluate the significance of the find. The certificate holder shall notify the Department and SHPO of the find and include its recommendations concerning avoidance or mitigation of impacts. The certificate holder shall not restart work in the affected area without Department approval.
IV.K. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES [OAR 345-022-0090]	К.8	Condition K.8: At least 30 days prior to beginning construction, the certificate holder shall submit to the Department an Archaeological Monitoring Plan for construction and maintenance activities to address and mitigate impacts to unanticipated or previously unidentified cultural properties that may be exposed during construction or operation of the facility. The Archaeological Monitoring Plan must include the procedures to be followed in the event of an inadvertent discovery of human remains. The procedures must be in accordance with the requirements of ORS 97.740 through 97.760 and ORS 146.090 through 146.095. A current copy of the plan must be maintained at the O&M building and made available to Department staff upon request.
IV.L. RECREATION	L.1	Condition L.1: The certificate holder shall ensure that the final design of the

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
[OAR 345-022-0100]		facility does not result in an operational noise level exceeding 45 dBA at the boundaries of the North Pole Ridge, Thirtymile, and Lower John Day Wilderness Study Areas.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.1	 Condition M.1: During construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall implement the following measures to reduce traffic impacts: a. Provide advance notice to all affected local jurisdictions and local landowners in advance of construction deliveries and potential for heavy traffic on local roads; b. Use traffic diversion and warning equipment when slow or oversize construction loads are anticipated, such as advance signage, flaggers, and pilot cars; c. Monitor local road traffic during delivery of heavy components and use temporary warning signage or flaggers in areas where local traffic might encounter oversize loads; d. Encourage and promote carpooling for the construction workforce and provide high-occupancy vans or buses to transport workers to the site; e. Maintain at least one travel lane at all times so that roads will not be closed to traffic because of construction vehicles, especially at entrance and exit points onto public roads; f. Keep state highways and county roads free of gravel that may be tracked out on intersecting roads at facility access points; and g. Restrict oversize construction loads traveling though the City of Antelope to the hours of 8:00 am to 8:00 pm, Monday through Saturday.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	<mark>M.2</mark>	Condition M.2: During construction the certificate holder shall ensure that no equipment or machinery is parked or stored on any Wasco or Sherman County road whether inside or outside the site boundary. The certificate holder may temporarily park equipment off the road but within County rights-of-way with the approval of the applicable County Roadmaster.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.3	Condition M.3: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must consult with the Sherman County Road Master and enter into an agreement with Sherman County concerning repair of any road damage caused by construction of the facility. The Agreement must include, at a minimum, pre-construction assessment of road surfaces under Sherman County jurisdiction, construction monitoring, and post-construction inspection and repair. A copy of the agreement with Sherman County must be submitted to the Department before beginning construction.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.4	Condition M.4: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must enter into a Road Use Agreement with the Wasco County Road Department. The Agreement must include, at a minimum, a pre-construction assessment of road surfaces under Wasco County jurisdiction, construction monitoring, and post- construction inspection and repair. A copy of the Road Use Agreement with Wasco County must be submitted to the Department before beginning construction.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	<mark>M.5</mark>	Condition M.5: If the Road Use Agreement with Wasco County does not include roads and streets within the City of Antelope that will be utilized by construction traffic, the certificate holder must enter into an agreement with the City of Antelope for repair of any road damage caused by construction of the facility. The Agreement must include, at a minimum, pre-construction assessment of road surfaces within the city, construction monitoring, and post-construction inspection and repair. A copy of the agreement with the City of Antelope must be submitted to the Department before beginning construction.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.6	Condition M.6: Before beginning construction of any new State Highway approaches or utility crossings, the certificate holder shall obtain all required permits from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) subject to the applicable conditions required by OAR Chapter 734, Divisions 51 and 55. The certificate holder shall submit the necessary application or applications in a form satisfactory to ODOT for the location, construction and maintenance of

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		approaches on state highways for access to the site. The certificate holder shall also submit the necessary application or applications in a form satisfactory to ODOT for the location, construction and maintenance of collector lines or transmission lines crossing state highways. The certificate holder must provide a copy of the permit or approval from ODOT for each road approach or utility crossing location, prior to beginning construction at that location.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	<mark>M.7</mark>	Condition M.7: Before beginning construction of any new road approaches or utility crossings, the certificate holder shall obtain all required permits from Wasco and Sherman County.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.8	Condition M.8: Prior to beginning construction, the certificate holder must provide the Wasco and Sherman County Sheriff's offices with current contact information of facility personnel available to respond on a 24-hour basis in case of an emergency on the facility site during construction or operations. The contact information must include name, telephone number(s), physical location, and email address for the listed contact(s). An updated list must be provided to the Sheriff's offices immediately upon any change of contact information. A copy of the contact list provided to the Sheriff's offices, and any updates as they occur, must also be provided to the Department.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.9	Condition M.9: Prior to beginning operations, the certificate holder shall provide a copy of the site plan prepared per Condition M.19 to the Wasco and Sherman County Sheriff's offices.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.10	Condition M.10: During construction the certificate holder must maintain an area clear of vegetation for fire prevention around construction sites, including turbines and towers and any areas where work includes welding, cutting, grinding, or other flame- or spark-producing operations.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.11	Condition M.11: During construction and operation of the facility the certificate holder shall establish measures to prevent fires, including but not limited to prohibiting smoking except in enclosed vehicles or in approved areas and instructing personnel to keep vehicles and equipment on approved roads and off of vegetated areas.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.12	Condition M12: During facility construction and operation, the certificate holder shall ensure that all service vehicles are equipped with shovels, portable fire extinguishers of a 4A5OBC or equivalent rating, communication devices, emergency response procedures (including locations of water receptacles), and filled hand-operated backpack pumps. All service vehicles must be properly equipped to tow the on-site water receptacles in the event of an emergency and all operators must be appropriately trained in the operation of facility firefighting equipment.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.13	Condition M.13: The certificate holder shall construct turbines on concrete pads with a minimum of 10 feet of nonflammable and non-erosive ground cover on all sides. The certificate holder shall cover turbine pad areas with nonflammable, non-erosive material immediately following exposure during construction and shall maintain the pad area covering during facility operation.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.14	Condition M.14: The certificate holder shall install and maintain self-monitoring devices on each turbine, connected to a fault annunciation panel or supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system to alert operators to potentially dangerous conditions. The certificate holder shall maintain automatic equipment protection features in each turbine that would shut down the turbine and reduce the chance of a mechanical problem causing a fire.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.15	Condition M.15: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must provide South Sherman Fire and Rescue, and the first-response agencies listed in the fire safety and response plan developed per Condition M.16.b, with current contact information of at least two facility personnel available to respond on a 24-hour basis in case of an emergency on the facility site. The contact information must include name, telephone number(s), physical location, and email address for the listed contact(s). An updated list must be provided to the

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		fire protection agencies immediately upon any change of contact information. A copy of the contact list, and any updates as they occur, must also be provided to the Department, along with a list of the agencies that received the contact information.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.16	 Condition M.16: Before beginning construction the certificate holder shall develop and implement a fire safety and response plan for both construction and operation phases in consultation with the Oregon State Fire Marshal, the Wasco County Emergency Response Coordinator, South Sherman Fire and Rescue, and other first-response agencies the facility will rely upon for fire protection services. A copy of the plan must be provided to the Department at least 30 days before beginning construction. The plan must be updated at least annually by the agencies identified in (a) below and a copy provided to the agencies identified in (a), (b), and (c) and to the Department within 30 days of the update. The fire safety and response plan should address, at a minimum, the following: a. Identification of agencies that participated in developing the plan; b. Identification of agencies that are designated as first response agencies or are included in any mutual aid agreements or fire protection associations in the vicinity of the facility; c. A list of any other mutual aid agreements or fire protection associations in the vicinity of the facility; d. Complete contact information for each agency listed in (a), (b), and (c) above, including at least two facility contacts available on a 24-hour basis; e. Communication protocols for both routine and emergency events and the incident command system to be used in the event a fire response by multiple agencies is needed at the facility; f. Access and fire response plans during construction should addresses and signs are in place; g. The minimum designated time period of the fire season (i.e., May 1 through October 15) and the criteria to modify the designated fire season to respond to changing conditions; h. The number, size, and location of onsite water receptacles to be staged around the facility set for firefighting purposes during the fire season; and i. Training needs (both for facility pers
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.17	Condition M.17: During construction and operations the certificate holder shall stage water receptacles around the site in accordance with the fire safety and response plan developed to comply with Condition M.16.h. Water receptacles must be capable of being towed by facility service vehicles, and be equipped with a hose and pumping system. During fire season the hose and pumping system must be inspected and tested on a weekly basis. The certificate holder shall maintain on site inspection records for the receptacles and provide them to the Department upon request.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.18	Condition M.18: Before each fire season the certificate holder must provide employee fire prevention and response training that includes instruction on facility fire hazards, fire safety, emergency notification procedures, use of fire safety equipment, and fire safety rules and regulations. The certificate holders shall notify the Department and the first-response agencies listed in the fire safety and response plan developed to comply with Condition M.16. b at least 30 days prior to the annual training to provide an opportunity to participate in the training. Equivalent training shall be provided to new employees or subcontractors working on site that are hired during the fire season. The certificate holder must retain records of the training and provide them to the Department upon request.
DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
--	------------------	--
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.19	Condition M.19: Before beginning operation of the facility, the certificate holder must provide a final site plan to South Sherman Fire and Rescue and the first- response agencies listed in the fire safety and response plan developed per Condition M.16.b. The certificate holder must indicate on the site plan the identification number assigned to each turbine, the actual location of all facility structures, and the location of each water receptacle available for firefighting use on the facility site.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.20	Condition M.20: The certificate holder must maintain the capability on site to respond to fires and perform high-angle rescues. The certificate holder shall engage qualified instructors for training employees in high-angle training, and shall have annual emergency drills. The certificate holder shall invite the first-response agencies listed in the fire safety and response plan developed to comply with Condition M.16.b to participate in the training and emergency drills. The certificate holder must retain records of the training and provide them to the Department upon request.
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.21	Condition M.21: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall develop and implement, and require its contractors to develop and implement, a site health and safety plan that informs workers and others onsite about first aid techniques and what to do in case of an emergency. The health and safety plan will include preventative measures, important telephone numbers, the locations of onsite fire extinguishers, and the names, locations and contact information of nearby hospitals. The certificate holder shall ensure that there are first response personnel onsite who are trained and equipped for high angle rescue and who are certified in first aid and cardio pulmonary resuscitation (CPR).
IV.M. PUBLIC SERVICES [OAR 345-022-0110]	M.22	Condition M.22: During operation, the certificate holder shall develop and implement a site health and safety plan that informs employees and others onsite about first aid techniques and what to do in case of an emergency and that includes important telephone numbers and the locations of onsite fire extinguishers and nearby hospitals. The certificate holder shall ensure that operations personnel are trained and equipped for tower rescue. The facility must maintain training records and have a current copy of the site health and safety plan onsite and available upon request by the Department.
IV.N. WASTE MINIMIZATION [OAR 345-022-0120]	N.1	 Condition N.1: The certificate holder shall implement a waste management plan during construction that includes but is not limited to the following measures: a. Recycling steel, other metal scrap, and paper and cardboard waste. b. Recycling wood waste to the maximum extent possible. c. Collecting nonrecyclable waste for transport to a permitted solid waste disposal facility by a licensed waste hauler. d. Segregating all hazardous waste such as used oil, oily rags and oil-absorbent materials, mercury-containing lights and lead-acid and nickel-cadmium batteries for disposal by a licensed firm specializing in the proper recycling or disposal of hazardous waste.
IV.N. WASTE MINIMIZATION [OAR 345-022-0120]	N.2	 Condition N.2: The certificate holder shall implement a waste management plan during operation that includes but is not limited to the following measures: a. Training employees to minimize and recycle solid waste. b. Recycling paper products, metals, glass, and plastics. c. Recycling used oil and hydraulic fluid. d. Collecting nonrecyclable waste for transport to a permitted solid waste disposal facility by a licensed waste hauler. e. Segregating all hazardous, nonrecyclable waste such as used oil, oily rags and oil- absorbent materials, mercury-containing lights and lead-acid and nickel-cadmium batteries for disposal by a licensed firm specializing in the proper recycling or disposal of hazardous waste.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.1	Condition O.1: In addition to safety signage, the certificate holder shall physically control access to the substation and other facility elements with potential electrical hazards through the use of fences, locked gates, locked cabinets, or other means designed to exclude members of the public from the vicinity of

BRUSH CANYON DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		equipment posing an electrical hazard.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.2	Condition O.2: The certificate holder shall post signage around the facility site to discourage unauthorized access and may not erect outdoor displays, signs or billboards except those that are required for pubic or employee safety, in accordance with the applicable Road Use Agreement with Wasco or Sherman County, or otherwise required by law. No advertising is permitted.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.3	Condition O.3: The certificate holder shall lock all electrical equipment in turbine towers, cabinets, or within the O&M building and the O&M building shall be staffed 24-hours per day, seven days a week.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.4	Condition O.4: The certificate holder shall construct turbine towers with no exterior ladders and shall install locked tower access doors. The certificate holder shall keep tower access doors locked at all times, except when authorized personnel are present.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.5	Condition O.5: For turbine types having pad-mounted step-up transformers, the certificate holder shall install the transformers at the base of each tower in locked cabinets designed to protect the public from electrical hazards.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.6	Condition O.6: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall submit a Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration to the Federal Aviation Administration and the Oregon Department of Aviation identifying the proposed final locations of turbine towers and meteorological towers. The certificate holder shall notify the Department via written correspondence within two weeks of the date the FAA and the Oregon Department of Aviation make their determination regarding hazards to navigation.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.7	Condition O.7: The certificate holder shall follow manufacturers' recommended handling instructions and procedures to prevent damage to turbine or turbine tower components.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.8	Condition O.8: During operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall implement an equipment maintenance program and shall inspect all turbine and turbine tower components in accordance with program schedules. The certificate holder shall maintain and repair turbine and turbine tower components in accordance with manufacturer's guidelines. The certificate holder shall maintain onsite an inspection and maintenance log of all turbine and turbine tower components and shall make the logs available to Department staff upon request.
IV. O. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS FOR WIND FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0010]	0.9	Condition O.9: The certificate holder shall install and maintain self-monitoring devices on each turbine, linked to sensors at the operations and maintenance building, connected to a fault annunciation panel or supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system to alert operators to potentially dangerous conditions. The certificate holder shall maintain automatic equipment protection features in each turbine that would shut down the turbine and reduce the chance of a mechanical problem causing a fire. The certificate holder shall immediately remedy any dangerous conditions.
IV.P. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS STANDARD FOR WIND ENERGY FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0015]	P.1	Condition P.1: During construction and operation the site certificate holder must locate new access roads in a manner that avoids significant impacts to habitat and waterbodies.
IV.P. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS STANDARD FOR WIND ENERGY FACILITIES [OAR 345-024-0015]	P.2	Condition P.2: The certificate holder must install the 34.5-kV collector lines underground to a minimum depth of three feet unless the certificate holder provides evidence of documented geotechnical conditions or other engineering considerations to the Department to demonstrate that underground installation is impracticable. Based on documented geotechnical conditions or other documented engineering considerations, the certificate holder may install segments of the collector system aboveground, provided the total length of the aboveground segments does not exceed five miles.
IV.Q. SITING STANDARDS FOR	Q.1	Condition Q.1 [OAR 345-027-0023(4)]: The certificate holder shall develop and

BRUSH CANYON DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER

DPO SECTION	Condition	Text
TRANSMISSION LINES [OAR 345-024-0090]	No.	implement a program that provides reasonable assurance that all fences, gates, cattle guards, trailers or other objects or structures of a permanent nature that could become inadvertently charged with electricity are grounded or bonded through the life of the transmission line.
IV.Q. SITING STANDARDS FOR TRANSMISSION LINES [OAR 345-024-0090]	Q.2	 Condition Q.2: The certificate holder shall take reasonable steps to reduce or manage human exposure to electromagnetic fields, including but not limited to: a. Constructing all aboveground collector and transmission lines at least 200 feet from any residence or other occupied structure, measured from the centerline of the transmission line. b. Constructing all aboveground 34.5-kV transmission lines with a minimum clearance of 23 feet from the ground. c. Constructing all aboveground 230-kV transmission lines with a minimum clearance of 28 feet from the ground. d. Providing to landowners a map of underground and overhead transmission lines on their property and advising landowners of possible health and safety risks from induced currents caused by electric and magnetic fields. e. Designing and maintaining all transmission lines so that alternating current electric fields do not exceed 9 kV per meter at one meter above the ground surface in areas accessible to the public. f. Designing and maintaining all transmission lines so that induced voltages during operation are as low as reasonably achievable.
IV.Q. SITING STANDARDS FOR TRANSMISSION LINES [OAR 345-024-0090]	Q.3	Condition Q.3: In advance of, and during, preparation of detailed design drawings and specifications for the 34.5-kV collector and 230-kV transmission lines, the certificate holder shall consult with the Utility Safety and Reliability Section of the Oregon Public Utility Commission to ensure that the designs and specifications are consistent with applicable codes and standards.
IV.Q. SITING STANDARDS FOR TRANSMISSION LINES [OAR 345-024-0090]	Q.4	Condition Q.4: The certificate holder shall coordinate with Wasco Electric Cooperative during the design and construction of facility components and must obtain all required permits from Wasco Electric Cooperative prior to beginning construction.
IV.R. NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS [OAR 340-035-0035]	R.1	 Condition R.1: To reduce construction noise impacts at nearby residences, the certificate holder shall: a. Confine the noisiest operation of heavy construction equipment to the daylight hours. b. Require contractors to install and maintain exhaust mufflers on all combustion engine-powered equipment; and c. Establish a complaint response system at the construction manager's office to address construction noise complaints. Records of noise complaints during construction must be made available to authorized representatives of the Department upon request.
IV.R. NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS [OAR 340-035-0035]	R.2	 Condition R.2: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department: a. Information that identifies the final design locations of all facility components to be built at the facility; b. The maximum sound power level for the facility components and the maximum sound power level and octave band data for the turbine type(s) selected for the facility based on manufacturers' warranties or confirmed by other means acceptable to the Department; c. The results of the noise analysis of the final facility design performed in a manner consistent with the requirements of OAR 340-035-0035(1)(b)(B) (iii)(IV) and (VI). The analysis must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Department that the total noise generated by the facility would meet the ambient degradation test and maximum allowable test at the appropriate measurement point for all potentially-affected noise sensitive properties, or that the certificate holder has obtained the legally effective easement or real covenant described (d) below. d. For each noise-sensitive property where the certificate holder relies on a noise waiver to demonstrate compliance in accordance with OAR 340-035-

Г

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		0035(1)(b)(B)(iii)(III), a copy of the legally effective easement or real covenant pursuant to which the owner of the property authorizes the certificate holder's operation of the facility to increase ambient statistical noise levels L10 and L50 by more than 10 dBA at the appropriate measurement point. The legally effective easement or real covenant must : include a legal description of the burdened property (the noise sensitive property); be recorded in the real property records of the county; expressly benefit the property on which the wind energy facility is located; expressly run with the land and bind all future owners, lessees or holders of any interest in the burdened property; and not be subject to revocation without the certificate holder's written approval.
IV.R. NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS [OAR 340-035-0035]	R.3	Condition R.3: During operation, the certificate holder shall maintain a complaint response system to address noise complaints. The certificate holder shall notify the Department within two working days of receiving a noise complaint related to the facility. The notification should include, but is not limited to, the date the certificate holder received the complaint, the nature of the complaint, the complainant's contact information, the location of the affected property, and any actions taken, or planned to be taken, by the certificate holder to address the complaint.
IV.R. NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS [OAR 340-035-0035]	R.4	Condition R.4: In response to a complaint from the owner of a noise sensitive property regarding noise levels during operation of the facility, the Council may require the certificate holder to monitor and record the statistical noise levels to verify that the certificate holder is operating in compliance with the noise control regulations. The monitoring plan must be reviewed and approved by the Department prior to implementation. The cost of such monitoring, if required, shall be borne by the certificate holder.
IV.S. REMOVAL-FILL LAW	S.1	Condition S.1: If ground work is to occur within the area of Finnegan Creek, the certificate holder must conduct a separate delineation of Finnegan Creek, and obtain DSL approval before work commences in the Finnegan Creek area.
IV.S. REMOVAL-FILL LAW	S.2	Condition S.2: Before beginning construction the certificate holder must determine whether any construction disturbance would occur in locations not previously investigated for potentially jurisdictional waters. If the pre- construction investigation changes the areas of wetland and wetland impacts appreciably from those described in the wetland delineation reports provided in the Application for Site Certificate, the certificate holder must notify the Department and the Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL). If requested by DSL, the certificate holder must submit a new wetland delineation report and a revised Removal-Fill Permit Application to DSL and the Department for approval.
IV.S. REMOVAL-FILL LAW	S.3	Condition S.3: Before beginning construction, the certificate holder must obtain and provide proof to the Department that a Removal-Fill Permit from the DSL was obtained substantially in the form of Exhibit 5 to this Order. The applicant must comply with all conditions of the Removal-Fill Permit.
IV.S. REMOVAL-FILL LAW	S.4	Condition S.4: The certificate holder must comply with State laws and rules applicable to the Removal-Fill Permit that are adopted in the future to the extent that such compliance is required under the respective statutes and rules.
IV.S. REMOVAL-FILL LAW	S.5	Condition S.5: The certificate holder must construct stream and wetland crossings when channels are dry, to the extent possible. In the event of flow in the channel, the work area must be isolated by temporarily diverting water around the work area including installation of silt curtains or check dams; however, the stream must not be diverted from streambed.
IV.S. REMOVAL-FILL LAW	S.6	Condition S.6: The certificate holder must comply with ODFW in-water work windows for tributaries of the Lower John Day and Deschutes River, which are July 15 through October 31; and for tributaries of Trout Creek, which are July 1 through October 31.
IV.T. GROUND WATER ACT	T.1	Condition T.1: The certificate holder must ensure that water utilized for construction purposes is obtained only from properly permitted sources. If water

Γ

DPO SECTION	Condition No.	Text
		is to be obtained from other than a municipal source, prior to beginning construction the certificate holder must ensure that the private source has obtained a limited water use license or other approval as required by the Oregon Water Resources Department. During construction, the certificate holder must maintain a copy of the limited water use license(s) or other required approval(s) from OWRD for each private source from which it obtains water for construction purposes. A copy of the license or approval must be provided to the Department upon request.
IV.T. GROUND WATER ACT	Т.2	Condition T.2: During facility operation, the certificate holder shall obtain no more than 5,000 gallons/day of water for domestic use and maintenance activities from the onsite well constructed to serve the water needs at the O&M building. The certificate holder shall construct and operate the well in compliance with the applicable requirements of the Oregon Water Resources Department. In accordance with ORS 537.765 and OAR 690-190-0100, within 30 days of completing construction of the well, the certificate holder shall provide the OWRD with a well log and a map locating the well, and that the appropriate fee for recording an exempt use well has been paid. The well serving the O&M Building may not be used to supply water for construction purposes unless the certificate holder has obtained the necessary permit(s) from OWRD.

WASCO COUNTY - ROAD DISTRICT SCENERIOS FUNDING FOR OTHER INCORPORATED CITIES

.

.

	-	÷	
•	\$750,000	% of Assessed Value	Rd District Funding
Dufur	\$32,853,039	1.72%	\$12,904
Maupin	\$43,121,289	2.26%	\$16,937
Mosier	\$41,026,691	2.15%	\$16,114
Antelope	\$2,717,911	0.14%	\$1,068
The Dalles	\$940,153,044		\$702,977
TOTALS:	\$1,909,488,281		\$750,000
Other city funds taken fr	om \$750.000 city choro		

٠

į

÷

Other city funds taken from \$750,000 city share

Date:	December 9, 2013
То:	Legislative Committee Association of Oregon Counties
From:	Mike McArthur, executive director
Staff:	Rob Bovett, (almost) legal counsel
Subject:	Marijuana Policy

Requested action:

Prøvide direction on immediate policy issues relating to marijuana policy. Specifically:

1.) Should AOC work to ensure that the February legislative session fixes the most acute problems with the medical marijuana dispensary law, namely the lack of adequate OHA staffing, and the lack of regulation of persons who will be dispensing?

.) Should AOC work to ensure, via legislation or court decision, that local

- \uparrow jurisdictions can opt out of having medical marijuana dispensaries?
- 3) Should AOC work to ensure that any legislative referral to the voters for legalization include strong regulatory controls, including, but not limited to, satisfying the eight harms of concern identified by the US Department of Justice for abeyance of federal enforcement action?
- (4.) Should AOC work to ensure that any legislative referral to the voters for
- legalization include a provision that allows local jurisdictions to opt out of having marijuana dispensaries?
- 5) Should AOC work to ensure that any legislative referral to the voters for legalization and taxation include substantial revenue sharing with local government?
- 6. Should AOC take a position on whether marijuana for adult recreational use should be legalized?

Background:

- Oregon has long deemphasized the enforcement of marijuana laws. Forty years ago, Oregon was the first state to decriminalize possession of small user amounts. Fifteen years ago, through a ballot initiative, Oregon became the second state to authorize the growing and use of medical marijuana. Manufacture, delivery, and possession of marijuana remains a crime under the federal Controlled Substances Act (CSA), but the federal government has, for many years, not enforced those laws against persons acting in compliance with state marijuana laws.
- In 2013, the Oregon Legislature authorized the licensing and regulation of medical marijuana dispensaries, which already exist in many other states. Rules are currently being developed, and the program will become operative in March of 2014. However, through the rules process, significant deficiencies in the legislation have come to light, as well as issues of local control, including whether cities and counties can opt out (i.e., ban the facilities through business licensing or land use planning). Two of the deficiencies are acute (OHA staffing; regulation of facility employees), and potentially place the future program at odds with the

Date:	December 9, 2013
То:	Legislative Committee/Board of Directors Association of Oregon Counties
From:	Mike McArthur, executive director
Staff:	Gil Riddell, policy coordinator
Subject:	AOC Work Group on Public Finance Reform.

Requested action:

Request the AOC Board of Directors to create an Association of Oregon Counties Work Group on Public Finance Reform. Further, request that the Board authorize President Earl Fisher to appoint the work group members after consultation with AOC staff.

Background:

The topic of public finance reform is finally near the surface. The Governor has quietly been conferring with business leaders and labor unions and at the beginning of the 2013 legislative session announced that the subject would be among his next top priorities.

In the meantime, Senator Mark Hass and Tobias Read have offered plans to continue the discussion carried for so long by retired Senator Frank Morse.

Reform will not happen quickly. Voters will have the ultimate say, and the Governor understands that they will have to show comfort with any proposal for the concept to move. This will be a long-term effort.

Nevertheless, counties have enormous interest in public finance reform, even if the property tax is too hot for the legislature to handle. Counties share substantial revenues with the State to perform vital public services and as part of promises made by the legislature for preemptions of local authorities (e.g., liquor, tobacco, and gambling regulations). Constitutional handcuffs have contributed to severe county fiscal distress. If the ultimate concept includes a consumption tax, counties should have a share or the authority to adopt a local version. And, of course, counties will have much to offer to inform a discussion on improvements to the property tax.

An AOC work group would stay abreast of and contribute to developments in the politics and design of a reform package.

PLANNING &		Wasco	County Plan	ning Departmen
5 6			"Service, Sus	tainability & Solutions
EIRTA FERT		Pho	one: (541) 506-2560 •	nd St. • The Dalles, OR 9705 wcplanning@co.wasco.or.u co.or.us/planning/planhome.htm
R	EQUEST FOR	R FEE WAIVE	R	
Date Submitted: 12/17/13				
Applicant/Owner Information:			Tacopal	A TORINA & TROVES
Applicant(s) FLOGPE JONE	A POBON	pperty Owner(s)	hege one	Dobert 293
Mailing Address 2390 (Shrolly	57 293 Ma	iling Address 23	70 CassollRD	Do Box 293
Phone (H) /-541-478 - 30(W)	Ph	one (H)/541-47	33019 (W)	
Email	Em	ail		0
Explanation For Fee Waiver Request (Please give compl	1	/ //	2 Doust
they hoved a	mady (1001 - 11	le och	ADINA
Megfaco. Slon	sung fil	- a he i	reed C	poretofe
a dig revelop	mg free	+ loe n	en no	Ditter
preauso of occe,	40KG Me	DON OU	Derlar	
(To be co	mpleted by Plannii	ng and Developme	ent Office)	
Fee Structure:			, ,	
APPLICATION TYPE	WAIVABLE PL PLANNING FEE	ANNING FEES PENALTY FEE	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE
Temporary Use Renewal	\$300.00	FENALITIEL	\$71.00	\$371.00
(PLATUP-11-06-0004				
				1]
Other Information:		2		
Fees Verified by: Dawn Banner	rd rs Signature			
(To be completed b		tant to the Board	of Commissioners)
		тот	AL WAIVED FEES:	300
		TOTAL FE	ES NOT WAIVED:	71
		$\Lambda_{i}\Lambda_{i}$		
Wasco County Board of Commissione	er Signature:	Stranger (/	
P:\Forms\Land Use Applications\fee waiver reques	.t /	11		
1. a officialita use Applications liee waiver reques	. /	U		



Wasco County Planning Department

"Service, Sustainability & Solutions"

2705 East Second St. • The Dalles, OR 97058 Phone: (541) 506-2560 • wcplanning@co.wasco.or.us www.co.wasco.or.us/planning

Memorandum

To:	Board of County Commissioners
CC:	Tyler Stone, Administrative Officer
From:	John Roberts, Planning Director
Date:	December 18, 2013
Re:	Request for Fee Waiver – Renewal of Temporary Use Permit

Mr. Floyd Jones, of 2370 Carroll Rd., has requested a fee waiver of \$300 for renewal of a Temporary Use Permit ("TUP"). The TUP renewal request is in regards to a medical hardship and accompanying travel trailer / recreational vehicle on said property. The original request for the TUP was initiated as a result of code compliance in 2010 / 2011.

It appears Mr. Jones does have both medical and financial hardships. On May 24, 2011, the Board waived half of the Planning Department's application fee of \$500 (the \$71 Clerk recording fee still was paid in full). Staff was somewhat apprehensive or reluctant to waive the entire fee in light of precedence it could possibly set for similar requests in the future. It was recognized having a medical or financial hardship or both is quite common.

Staff recommends waiving the entire \$300 renewal fee for Mr. Jones.



1750 12th Street • Hood River, OR 97031 • tel: 541-386-5070 • fax: 541-386-7190 • columbiagorgefamilymedicine.com

December 5, 2013

To Whom It May Concern:



RE: Floyd Jones and Norma Jones 2370 Carroll Road Mosier, OR 97040

Both of these people are my patients in their upper 70's and they both have significant medical conditions.

I would appreciate your consideration of allowing them to apply for hardship permits so they can have someone nearby on their property to help them maintain independent living and act as a caregiver for them. At present, they are unable to maintain separate residences and, therefore, need to have a caregiver closer at hand.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

fony Gay, M.D.

TG/jw



Phone: (541) 506-2560 Fax: (541) 506-2561 Web Address: co.wasco.or.us

Memorandum

- **To:** Board of County Commissioners
- **CC:** Tyler Stone, Administrative Officer
- From: John Roberts, Planning Director
- Date: May 24, 2011
- Re: Request for Fee Waiver Temporary Use Permit

Mr. Floyd Jones, of 2370 Carroll Rd., has requested a fee waiver of \$571 for a Temporary Use Permit ("TUP"). The TUP request is in regards to a medical hardship and accompanying travel trailer / recreational vehicle on said property. The request for the TUP was initiated as a result of code enforcement.

It appears Mr. Jones does have both medical and financial hardships. As such, it is recommended half the Planning Department's application fee of \$500 be waived (the \$71 Clerk recording fee would still be paid in full). Staff is somewhat apprehensive or reluctant to waive the entire fee in light of precedence it could possibly set for similar requests in the future. It should be recognized having a medical or financial hardship or both is quite common.